

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910819686503321
Titolo	Critical aspects of EPA's IRIS Assessment of Inorganic Arsenic : interim report // Committee on Inorganic Arsenic, Board on Environmental Studies and Toxicology, Division on Earth and Life Studies, National Research Council, of the National Academies
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Washington, District of Columbia : , : The National Academies Press, , [2013] ©2013
ISBN	0-309-29709-5 0-309-29707-9
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (128 p.)
Disciplina	615.925715
Soggetti	Arsenic - Toxicology - United States United States
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.
Nota di contenuto	""Front matter""; ""Preface""; ""Contents""; ""Summary""; ""1 Introduction""; ""2 Exposure Considerations""; ""3 IRIS Assessment Development Plans: Evidence Evaluation, Systematic Review, and Meta-analysis""; ""4 Hazard Identification""; ""5 Susceptibility Factors""; ""7 Dosea€?Response Analysis""; ""References""; ""Appendix A-- Biosketches of the Committee on Inorganic Arsenic""; ""Appendix B-- Workshop Agenda""
Sommario/riassunto	"The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) program develops toxicologic assessments of environmental contaminants. IRIS assessments provide hazard identification and dose-response assessment information. The information is then used in conjunction with exposure information to characterize risks to public health and may be used in risk-based decisionmaking, in regulatory actions, and for other risk-management purposes. Since the middle 1990s, EPA has been in the process of updating the IRIS assessment of inorganic arsenic. In response to a congressional mandate for an independent review of the IRIS assessment of inorganic arsenic, EPA requested that the National

Research Council convene a committee to conduct a two-phase study. Critical Aspects of EPA's IRIS Assessment of Inorganic Arsenic is the report of the first phase of that study. This report evaluates critical scientific issues in assessing cancer and noncancer effects of oral exposure to inorganic arsenic and offers recommendations on how the issues could be addressed in EPA's IRIS assessment."--

2. Record Nr.	UNINA9910974812903321
Autore	Bishop Ronald <1961->
Titolo	Taking on the Pledge of Allegiance : the news media and Michael Newdow's Constitutional challenge / / Ronald Bishop ; foreword by Nadine Strossen
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Albany, : State University of New York Press, c2007
ISBN	9780791479551 0791479552 9781435616721 1435616723
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (218 p.)
Disciplina	342.7308/52
Soggetti	Flags - Law and legislation - United States Religion in the public schools - Law and legislation - United States
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 183-197) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Master myths, frames, narratives, and guard dogs -- A case of first impression -- An impermissible message of endorsement -- A reputation for unorthodox opinions -- Their own little world -- The good mother -- On to the Supreme Court -- Tepid and diluted -- Nice try, young man -- We're saved for now.
Sommario/riassunto	Taking on the Pledge of Allegiance explores the landmark lawsuit filed by avowed atheist Michael Newdow against the Elk Grove Unified School District in California, in which he claimed the words "under God" in the Pledge of Allegiance amounted to an unconstitutional endorsement of religion. Newdow's original suit was ignored by the public and the news

media until June 26, 2002, when the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals ruled that the Pledge of Allegiance was unconstitutional. This decision touched off a firestorm of negative reaction, both from politicians and from the public. The U.S. Supreme Court eventually overturned the ruling on Flag Day 2004. This book contains interviews with many of the parties involved, including Newdow and journalists who covered the case. Ronald Bishop examines how the news media marginalized Newdow after the Ninth Circuit's ruling—acting as a "guard dog" for the government and for the ideas supposedly at the ideological heart of America—by framing the decision as an aberration, a radical act by a hopelessly liberal federal circuit court. Bishop concludes that journalists relegated Newdow to a rhetorical "protest zone"—he was heard, but from a safe distance.
