Record Nr. UNINA9910819681103321 Autore Baker Dennis J. Titolo The right not to be criminalized: demarcating criminal law's authority / / Dennis J. Baker London:,: Routledge,, 2016 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-317-01776-5 1-317-01777-3 1-315-55348-1 1-283-09044-9 9786613090447 1-4094-2766-8 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (312 p.) Collana Applied legal philosophy Disciplina 345.001 Soggetti Criminal law Law and ethics Criminal liability Criminal justice, Administration of - Moral and ethical aspects Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali First published 2011 by Ashgate Publishing. Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di bibliografia Unprincipled criminalization -- The problem : unprincipled Nota di contenuto criminalization -- The right not to be criminalized -- The retributive foundations of individualized criminalization -- Principled criminalization -- The structure of this book -- Taking harm seriously as a fairness constraint -- Harm and wrongdoing -- Feinberg's account of objectively wrongful harm -- Wronging non-human animals -- Nonobjective and objective conceptions of harm -- Constitutionalizing the harm principle -- Wrongful harm as a normative justification for penal detention -- Distinguishing criminal harm from private law harm: culpability and collective enforcement -- The moral dimensions of constitutional rights -- Harm as a constitutional requirement -- Can courts determine objective accounts of harm? -- Drawing the line --The limits of remote harm and endangerment criminalization --Criminal responsibility for the acts of another -- Empirical evidence of

remote harmfulness -- Fairly imputing aggregate harm to individuals

-- Endangerment as a justification for criminalizing gun possession --Conclusion -- The harm principle vs. Kantian criteria for ensuring fair criminalization -- Kantian criteria for ensuring fair criminalization --Kant's second formulation of the categorical imperative -- Dan-Cohen and Ripstein's criticisms of the harm principle -- Harm and wrongdoing to non-humans -- Ripstein's sovereignty principle -- The moral limits of consent as a defense to criminal harm doing -- Objectivity and consent -- Harm and consent : stubborn counterexamples --Objectivity and the limits of consent in R.V. Konzani -- Objectivity and wanton use of humans -- Other normative considerations --Criminalizing harmless wrongs -- The hollowness of Feinberg's offense principle -- Feinberg's mediating maxims and critical morality -- The vacuity of moral realism as an explanation of criminalization's normativity -- Conventionally contingent harms -- The normative badness of offense doing -- The wrongness of conventionally contingent bad acts -- Conclusion.

## Sommario/riassunto

This book presents arguments and proposals for constraining criminalization, with a focus on the legal limits of the criminal law. The book approaches the issue by showing how the moral criteria for constraining unjust criminalization can and has been incorporated into constitutional human rights and thus provides a legal right not to be unfairly criminalized.