Record Nr. UNINA9910819626003321 Autore Rountree Clarke <1958-> Judging the Supreme Court: constructions of motives in Bush v. Gore / Titolo / Clarke Rountree Pubbl/distr/stampa East Lansing:,: Michigan State University Press,, [2007] ©2007 **ISBN** 1-60917-093-8 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (527 p.) Collana Rhetoric and public affairs series Disciplina 347.73/26 Soggetti Contested elections - United States - History Political questions and judicial power - United States - History Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Description based upon print version of record. Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di bibliografia Nota di contenuto Judicial motives in American jurisprudence -- The road to Bush v. Gore -- The United States Supreme Court decides the election -- The dissent reconstructs majority action -- Reporters reconstruct the Supreme Court's action -- Editorialists reconstruct Bush v. Gore -- Scholars reconstruct the stay decision -- Scholars reconstruct how the court reached its decision -- Scholars reconstruct why the court reached its decision -- Scholars reconstruct who decided Bush v. Gore -- Scholars reconstruct when and where the court reached its decision and what it was doing -- Scholars reconstruct Rehnquist's concurring opinion --Judging the Supreme Court and its judges. This volume questions the motives of Supreme Court justices in a Sommario/riassunto landmark case: The Supreme Court's intervention in the presidential election of 2000, and its subsequent decision in favor of George W. Bush, elicited immediate, heated, and widespread debate. Critics argued that the justices used weak legal arguments to overturn the Florida Supreme Court's ruling, ending a ballot recount and awarding the presidency to Bush. More fundamentally, they questioned the motives of conservative judges who arrived at a decision in favor of the candidate who reflected their political leanings.