

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910818992803321
Autore	Lerner Hanna (College teacher)
Titolo	Making constitutions in deeply divided societies // Hanna Lerner
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cambridge : , : Cambridge University Press, , 2011
ISBN	1-107-22727-5 1-139-09763-6 1-283-34189-1 1-139-10347-4 9786613341891 1-139-10101-3 1-139-10167-6 1-139-09898-5 0-511-79339-1 1-139-09967-1
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (ix, 262 pages) : digital, PDF file(s)
Disciplina	342.02
Soggetti	Constitutional law - Israel Constitutional law - India Constitutional law - Ireland
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015).
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 235-257) and index.
Nota di contenuto	; Introduction -- PART I : CONSTITUTIONS, DEMOCRACY, IDENTITY: Three paradigms of democratic constitutions -- The incrementalist approach to constitution-making -- ; PART II : VARIETIES OF CONSTITUTIONAL INCREMENTALISM: Informal consociationalism in Israel -- Constructive ambiguity in India -- Symbolic ambivalence in Ireland -- ; PART III : ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST CONSTITUTIONAL INCREMENTALISM: Normative arguments for constitutional incrementalism -- Potential dangers -- ; Conclusion.
Sommario/riassunto	How can societies still grappling over the common values and shared vision of their state draft a democratic constitution? This is the central puzzle of Making Constitutions in Deeply Divided Societies. While most theories discuss constitution-making in the context of a moment of

revolutionary change, Hanna Lerner argues that an incrementalist approach to constitution-making can enable societies riven by deep internal disagreements to either enact a written constitution or function with an unwritten one. She illustrates the process of constitution-writing in three deeply divided societies - Israel, India and Ireland - and explores the various incrementalist strategies deployed by their drafters. These include the avoidance of clear decisions, the use of ambivalent legal language and the inclusion of contrasting provisions in the constitution. Such techniques allow the deferral of controversial choices regarding the foundational aspects of the polity to future political institutions, thus enabling the constitution to reflect a divided identity.
