1. Record Nr. UNINA9910816366603321 Paradigm change: in the Transeurasian languages and beyond // Titolo edited by Martine Robbeets, Walter Bisang; contributors, Eva A. Csato [and fifteen others] Amsterdam, Netherlands;; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania:,: John Pubbl/distr/stampa Benjamins Publishing Company, , 2014 ©2014 **ISBN** 90-272-6973-4 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (365 p.) Collana Studies in Language Companion Series, , 0165-7763; ; Volume 161 Disciplina 414/.01 Soggetti Paradigm (Linguistics) Altaic languages - Morphology Altaic languages - Verb Comparative linguistics - Eurasia Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Description based upon print version of record. Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references at the end of each chapters and indexes. Nota di contenuto Paradigm Change; Editorial page; Title page; LCC data; Table of contents; List of tables; List of figures; List of contributors; Acknowledgements: Chapter 1. When paradigms change: 1. Changing research paradigms; 1.1 Paradigm change in Transeurasian linguistics ; 1.2 Paradigm change in comparative historical morphology ; 2. Change in morphological paradigms; 2.1 Definitions; 2.2 Changes in paradigms and their stability; 3. The organization of this volume; References; Part I. Paradigm change; Chapter 2. On the strength of morphological paradigms 1. Introduction - the basic idea of this paper 2. Radical pro-drop - a comparison of West Africa and East and mainland Southeast Asia; 2.1 West African languages; 2.2 East and mainland Southeast Asian languages; 3. Radical pro-drop and morphology from a theoretical perspective; 4. Radical pro-drop and the strength of morphological paradigms: 4.1 On frequency and the diffusion of language change: 4.2 Inflectional paradigms in Niger-Congo and the absence of radical pro-drop; 4.3 East and mainland Southeast Asian languages and the

lack of morphological paradigms

- 4.4 Factors that prevent the development of morphological paradigms at later stages 5. Conclusion; Abbreviations; References; Chapter 3. Derivational paradigms in diachrony and comparison; 1. Introduction; 2. Wordlists and language sample; 3. The causative alternation: Subgrouping; 4. Posture verbs: Subgrouping; 5. External comparison; 5.1 Theoretical issues; 5.2 A combined grammatical tree; 5.3 Derivational type and lexical stability; 6. Conclusions; References; Chapter 4. On arguing from diachrony for paradigms; 1. Introduction; 2. What is a paradigm?
- 3. Some non-evidence from language change 4. Positive evidence for paradigms from analogical change; 5. An extended sense of 'Paradigm' and its value here; 6. Conclusion A cautionary note with further positive indications for the paradigm; Abbreviations; References; Chapter 5. Reconstructing the Niger-Congo Verb Extension Paradigm; 1. Introduction; 2. Niger-Congo verb extensions; 3. Verb extensions in Afro-Asiatic, Nilo-Saharan and "Khoisan"; 4. Renewed and borrowed extensions; 5. Extension stacking; 6. Verb extensions in Bantoid; 7. Summary and conclusion; Abbreviations

Languages Linguistic forms; References; Appendix: Table of Verb Extensions in Bantoid; Part II. The continuation of paradigms; Chapter 6. Perceived formal and functional equivalence; 1. Indirect insertion of West Old Turkic verb stems in Late Ancient Hungarian; 2. Hungarian verbal conjugational paradigms; 3. The cuckoo's nest: The ikconjugation; 4. Copied Turkic verbs in the ik-conjugation (Rona-Tas & Berta 2011); 5. The Turkic deverbal suffixes -(V)g and -(V)k; 6. The Hungarian reflexive verbs; 7. Carry over; Abbreviation; References Chapter 7. Comparative consequences of the tongue root harmony analysis for proto-Tungusic, proto-Mongolic, and proto-Korean

The paper reviews the data concerning the nominal inflectional morphology in the chain of languages comprising Uralic, Turkic, Mongolic, Tungusic, Koreanic and Japonic, collectively termed "Ural-Altaic". Although nominal morphology has traditionally been quoted in support of the hypothesis concerning the genetic relationship of these languages, a more detailed survey of the data shows that the extant parallels are in various ways secondary and/or accidental. This suggests that Ural-Altaic is an areal and typological complex of languages, but not a genetic entity. On the other hand, it is also

Sommario/riassunto