

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910816250503321
Autore	Peterson Sandra <1940->
Titolo	Socrates and philosophy in the dialogues of Plato // Sandra Peterson [[electronic resource]]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cambridge : , : Cambridge University Press, , 2011
ISBN	1-107-21370-3 1-139-01258-4 1-283-01715-6 9786613017154 1-139-00942-7 1-139-00995-8 1-139-00780-7 1-139-00669-X 0-511-92134-9 1-139-00890-0
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (xvi, 293 pages) : digital, PDF file(s)
Classificazione	PHI002000
Disciplina	184
Soggetti	Philosophy
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015).
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and indexes.
Nota di contenuto	1. Opposed hypotheses about Plato's dialogues -- 2. Socrates in the Apology -- 3. Socrates in the digression of the Theaetetus: extraction by declaration -- 4. Socrates in the Republic, part I: speech and counter-speech -- 5. Socrates in the Republic, part II: philosophers, forms, Glaucon and Adeimantus -- 6. Socrates in the Phaedo: another persuasion assignment -- 7. Others' conceptions of philosophy in Euthydemus, Lovers, and Sophist -- 8. Socrates and Plato in Plato's dialogues -- 9. Socrates and philosophy.
Sommario/riassunto	In Plato's Apology, Socrates says he spent his life examining and questioning people on how best to live, while avowing that he himself knows nothing important. Elsewhere, however, for example in Plato's Republic, Plato's Socrates presents radical and grandiose theses. In this book Sandra Peterson offers a hypothesis which explains the puzzle of

Socrates' two contrasting manners. She argues that the apparently confident doctrinal Socrates is in fact conducting the first step of an examination: by eliciting his interlocutors' reactions, his apparently doctrinal lectures reveal what his interlocutors believe is the best way to live. She tests her hypothesis by close reading of passages in the Theaetetus, Republic and Phaedo. Her provocative conclusion, that there is a single Socrates whose conception and practice of philosophy remain the same throughout the dialogues, will be of interest to a wide range of readers in ancient philosophy and classics.

---