

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910815195103321
Autore	Geva Dorit <1974->
Titolo	Conscription, family, and the modern state : a comparative study of France and the United States // Dorit Geva, Central European University [[electronic resource]]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cambridge : , : Cambridge University Press, , 2013
ISBN	1-107-32684-2 1-107-23627-4 1-107-33252-4 1-107-33328-8 1-139-17713-3 1-107-33660-0 1-107-33494-2 1-107-33577-9
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (xiii, 264 pages) : digital, PDF file(s)
Disciplina	355.2/23630944
Soggetti	Draft - France - History - 20th century Draft - United States - History - 20th century Draft - Social aspects - France Draft - Social aspects - United States Heads of household - France Heads of household - United States
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015).
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Machine generated contents note: Part I. Conscription, Familial Authority, and State Modernity in Modern France: 1. Nationalized coercion, familial authority, and the pere de famille in nineteenth-century France; 2. Conscription, pronatalism, and decline of familial sovereignty in the early Third Republic; 3. The famille nombreuse versus the security state in interwar France; Part II. The Draft, Familial Authority, and State Modernity in the United States: 4. Breadwinning, selective service, and the First World War draft; 5. The father draft crisis and the Second World War; 6. Conclusion: familial authority and state

modernity past and present.

Sommario/riassunto

The development of modern military conscription systems is usually seen as a response to countries' security needs, and as reflection of national political ideologies like civic republicanism or democratic egalitarianism. This study of conscription politics in France and the United States in the first half of the twentieth century challenges such common sense interpretations. Instead, it shows how despite institutional and ideological differences, both countries implemented conscription systems shaped by political and military leaders' concerns about how taking ordinary family men for military service would affect men's presumed positions as heads of families, especially as breadwinners and figures of paternal authority. The first of its kind, this carefully researched book combines an ambitious range of scholarly traditions and offers an original comparison of how protection of men's household authority affected one of the paradigmatic institutions of modern states.
