

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910814660803321
Titolo	Formulaic language . Volume 1 Distribution and historical change // edited by Roberta L. Corrigan ... [et al.]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Philadelphia, : John Benjamins, 2009
ISBN	1-282-16995-5 9786612169953 90-272-9017-2
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	xxiv, 295, 19 p
Collana	Typological studies in language ; ; 82
Altri autori (Persone)	CorriganRoberta
Disciplina	410
Soggetti	Linguistic analysis (Linguistics) Linguistic models
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Formulaic Language -- Editorial page -- Title page -- LCC data -- Dedication -- Table of contents -- Preface -- Introduction. Approaches to the study of formulae -- 1. What are formulae? -- 2. Research questions -- 3. Synopsis of the book -- 3.1 Structure and distribution -- 3.2 Historical change -- 3.3 Acquisition and loss -- 3.4 Psychological reality -- 3.5 Explanations -- 4. Conclusions -- References -- Part 1. What is Formulaic Language -- Grammarians' languages versus humanists' languages and the place of speech act formulas in models of -- 1. Languages as problematical constructs -- 2. Speech act formulas -- 3. Grammarians' languages, humanists' languages and the treatment of speech formulas -- 3.1 Grammarians' languages -- 3.2 Humanists' languages -- 4. On some projects from the 1970s investigating formulaic language -- 4.1 Suddenly formulas are in the air everywhere - but why? -- 4.2 Kuiper on oral formulaic genres -- 4.3 Pawley and Syder on the role of formulas in ordinary language -- 5. Have linguists changed their views of language? -- Abstract -- 1. Languages as problematical constructs -- 2. Speech act formulas -- 3. Grammarians' languages, humanists' languages and the treatment of speech formulas -- 3.1 Grammarians' languages -- 3.2 Humanists' languages -- 4. On some projects from the 1970s investigating formulaic

language -- 4.1 Suddenly formulas are in the air everywhere - but why?
-- 4.2 Kuiper on oral formulaic genres -- 4.3 Pawley and Syder on the
role of formulas in ordinary language -- 5. Have linguists changed their
views of language? -- References -- Identifying formulaic language --
1. Introduction27 -- 2. Approaches to definition28 -- 2.1 Types
of definition28 -- 2.2 Morpheme-equivalence and the blurring of the
boundary between formulaic and non-formulaic material30.
2.3 Harnessing definitions appropriately in research34 -- 2.4 Finding
examples of formulaic language in text35 -- 2.4.1 Can you identify
formulaic sequences by counting them?35 -- 2.4.2 Can you hear that
something is formulaic?37 -- 2.4.3 Are formulaic sequences non-
canonical?37 -- 2.4.4 Are formulaic sequences always more than one
word long?38 -- 2.4.5 Does code-switching respect the boundaries
of formulaic sequences?38 -- 2.4.6 Are formulaic sequences
uncharacteristic of normal performance?39 -- 2.4.7 Can we identify
formulaic sequences intuitively?39 -- 2.4.8 Towards a solution for
identification of formulaic sequences in text39 -- 2.5 Embracing
the opportunities40 -- 3. Boundaries41 -- 3.1 Escaping
formulaicity, but at a price42 -- 3.2 External attempts to control
expression and thought44 -- 3.3 Absence of novelty45 -- 3.4
Evidence from the boundaries47 -- 4. Conclusion48 -- Abstract --
1. Introduction -- 2. Approaches to definition -- 2.1 Types of
definition -- 2.2 Morpheme-equivalence and the blurring of the
boundary between formulaic and non-formulaic material -- 2.3
Harnessing definitions appropriately in research -- 2.4 Finding
examples of formulaic language in text -- 2.4.1 Can you identify
formulaic sequences by counting them? -- 2.4.2 Can you hear that
something is formulaic? -- 2.4.3 Are formulaic sequences non-
canonical? -- 2.4.4 Are formulaic sequences always more than one
word long? -- 2.4.5 Does code-switching respect the boundaries of
formulaic sequences? -- 2.4.6 Are formulaic sequences
uncharacteristic of normal performance? -- 2.4.7 Can we identify
formulaic sequences intuitively? -- 2.4.8 Towards a solution for
identification of formulaic sequences in text -- 2.5 Embracing the
opportunities -- 3. Boundaries -- 3.1 Escaping formulaicity, but at a
price -- 3.2 External attempts to control expression and thought.
3.3 Absence of novelty -- 3.4 Evidence from the boundaries -- 4.
Conclusion -- References -- Part 2. Structure and distribution --
Formulaic tendencies of demonstrative clefts in spoken English -- 1.
The demonstrative cleft construction55 -- 2. Background57 -- 3.
Evidence of formulaic tendencies in demonstrative clefts61 -- 3.1
Associated with informal conversation63 -- 3.2 Fixedness65 -- 3.3
Fluent phonological structure69 -- 3.4 Non-salient reference71 --
4. Summary73 -- Abstract -- 1. The demonstrative cleft construction
-- 2. Background -- 3. Evidence of formulaic tendencies in
demonstrative clefts -- 3.1 Associated with informal conversation --
3.2 Fixedness -- 3.3 Fluent phonological structure -- 3.4 Non-salient
reference -- 4. Summary -- Appendix A: Discourse features included
in the examples -- Acknowledgements -- References -- Formulaic
language and the relater category - the case of about -- 1. Relaters
77 -- 2. Formulaicity and formulaic language78 -- 3.
Construction grammar81 -- 4. Data and method82 -- 5. Results
83 -- 5.1 adjective+about85 -- 5.2 noun+about87 -- 5.2.1
Substantive constructions (type A)88 -- 5.2.2 Borderline
constructions (type B)89 -- 5.2.3 Schematic constructions (type C)
90 -- 5.3 verb+about91 -- 6. Concluding remarks92 -- Abstract
-- 1. Relaters -- 2. Formulaicity and formulaic language -- 3.
Construction Grammar -- Anchor 58 -- 5. Results -- 5.1

adjective+about -- 5.2 noun+about -- 5.2.1 Substantive constructions (type A) -- 5.2.2 Borderline constructions (type B) -- 5.2.3 Schematic constructions (type C) -- 5.3 verb+about -- 6. Concluding remarks -- References -- The aim is to analyze NP -- 1. Introduction97 -- 2. A constructionist approach to formulaic sequences98 -- 3. Methodological issues102 -- 4. Case study: the use of research predicates in English academic texts105.

4.1 The register of academic writing105 -- 4.2 Formulaic sequences containing research predicates107 -- 5. Conclusions112 -- Abstract -- 1. Introduction -- 2. A constructionist approach to formulaic sequences -- 3. Methodological issues -- 4. Case study: The use of research predicates in English academic texts -- 4.1 The register of academic writing -- 4.2 Formulaic sequences containing research predicates -- 5. Conclusion -- References -- Fixedness in Japanese adjectives in conversation -- 1. Introduction118 -- 2. Theoretical background118 -- 3. Previous research on Japanese adjectives119 -- 3.1 Traditional approaches119 -- 3.2 Usage-based approaches to Japanese adjectives121 -- 4. Data121 -- 5. Methodological procedures and overview121 -- 5.1 Form rather than function121 -- 5.2 na-adjectives and i-adjectives122 -- 5.3 Frequency122 -- 6. Our findings123 -- 6.1 Claim 1: Predicate adjectives outnumber attributive adjectives123 -- 6.2 Claim 2: Whether predicative or attributive, an understanding of Japanese adjectives in everyday talk involves various facets of fixedness123 -- 6.2.1 Claim 2.1: Attributive and predicative adjectives in Japanese show different types of fixedness124 -- 6.2.2 Claim 2.2: Ongoing lexicalization is a prominent feature of Japanese adjective usage137 -- 6.2.3 Summary -- 7. Conclusions and implications140 -- Abstract -- 1. Introduction -- 2. Theoretical background -- 3. Previous research on Japanese adjectives -- 3.1 Traditional approaches -- 3.2 Usage-based approaches to Japanese adjectives -- 4. Data -- 5. Methodological procedures and overview -- 5.1 Form rather than function -- 5.2 na-adjectives and i-adjectives -- 5.3 Frequency -- 6. Our findings -- 6.1 Claim 1: Predicate adjectives outnumber attributive adjectives.

6.2 Claim 2: Whether predicative or attributive, an understanding of Japanese adjectives in everyday talk involves various facets of fixedness -- 6.2.1 Claim 2.1: Attributive and predicative adjectives in Japanese show different types of fixedness. -- 6.2.2 Claim 2.2: Ongoing lexicalization is a prominent feature of Japanese adjective usage -- 6.2.3 Summary -- Anchor 86 -- List of symbols -- References -- Genre-controlled constructions in written language quotatives -- 1. Introduction148 -- 1.1 Roles of quotations and quotatives in written genres149 -- 1.2 Quotative constructions and formulaicity150 -- 1.3 Genre effects and data sources152 -- 1.4 Annotating quotatives152 -- 2. Quotatives in written English 153 -- 2.1 Quotative positions154 -- 2.2 Forms of quotatives155 -- 2.2.1 Quoting verbs156 -- 2.2.2 Speaker158 -- 2.2.3 Adverbs and adjectives159 -- 2.2.4 Addressee159 -- 2.3 Quotative inversion160 -- 2.4 Null quotatives162 -- 3. Functions of quotatives163 -- 3.1 Newspapers163 -- 3.2 Fiction books164 -- 3.3 Gossip column164 -- 4. Feature spectrum165 -- 5. Conclusion166 -- Abstract -- 1. Introduction -- 1.1 Roles of quotations and quotatives in written genres -- 1.2 Quotative constructions and formulaicity -- 1.3 Genre effects and data sources -- 1.4 Annotating quotatives -- 2. Quotatives in written English -- 2.1 Quotative positions -- 2.2 Forms of quotatives -- 2.2.1 Quoting verbs -- 2.2.2 Speaker -- 2.2.3 Adverbs and adjectives -- 2.2.4 Addressee

-- 2.3 Quotative inversion -- 2.4 Null quotatives -- 3. Functions of quotatives -- 3.1 Newspapers -- 3.2 Fiction books -- 3.3 Gossip column -- 4. Feature spectrum -- 5. Conclusion -- Data sources -- References -- Some remarks on the evaluative connotations of toponymic idioms in a contrastive perspective -- 1. The axiology of toponymic idioms¹⁷¹.

1.1 The cultural character of idioms¹⁷².

Sommario/riassunto

This study is based on Bybee & Eddington (2006), a synchronic study of the exemplar clusters formed by adjectives in four Spanish verb + adjective combinations used as constructions to denote a change of state (*ponerse* + adj, *hacerse* + adj, *quedarse* + adj, & *volverse* + adj). One main goal of the current study is to employ the exemplar model in a diachronic setting. This investigation studies the development of exemplar clusters of adjectives in the expression of 'becoming' *quedar* (se) + adjective in four periods: the 13th, 15th, 17th, and 19th centuries. This study provides evidence that, a.) prefabs serve as the central members of exemplar categories, b.) prefabs have longevity, c.) categories mutate over time by becoming more centralized, changing central members, or by expanding.