

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910813253003321
Autore	Cummins Robert <1944->
Titolo	The world in the head // Robert Cummins
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Oxford, : Oxford University Press, c2010
ISBN	0-19-160946-3 0-19-180983-7 1-282-46581-3 9786612465819 0-19-157291-8
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (339 p.)
Disciplina	128.2
Soggetti	Mental representation Philosophy of mind
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Contents; 1. What is it Like to be a Computer?; 2. The LOT of the Causal Theory of Mental Content; 3. Systematicity; 4. Systematicity and the Cognition of Structured Domains; 5. Methodological Reflections on Belief; 6. Inexplicit Information; 7. Representation and Indication; 8. Representation and Unexploited Content; 9. Haugeland on Representation and Intentionality; 10. Truth and Meaning; 11. Meaning and Content in Cognitive Science; 12. Representational Specialization: The Synthetic A Priori Revisited; 13. Biological Preparedness and Evolutionary Explanation 14. Cognitive Evolutionary Psychology Without Representational Nativism 15. Connectionism and the Rationale Constraint on Cognitive Explanation; 16. 'How does it Work?' vs. 'What are the Laws?': Two Conceptions of Psychological Explanation; Bibliography; Name Index; A; B; C; D; E; F; G; H; J; K; L; M; N; O; P; Q; R; S; T; V; W; Y; Subject Index; A; B; C; D; E; F; G; H; I; L; M; N; O; P; R; S; T; U; V
Sommario/riassunto	The World in the Head collects the best of Robert Cummins' papers on mental representation and psychological explanation. Running through these papers are a pair of themes: that explaining the mind requires functional analysis, not subsumption under "psychological laws", and

that the propositional attitudes--belief, desire, intention--and their interactions, while real, are not the key to understanding the mind at a fundamental level. Taking these ideas seriously puts considerable strain on standard conceptions of rationality and reasoning, on truth-conditional semantics, and on our interpret
