

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910812935303321
Autore	Heinze Eric
Titolo	The logic of liberal rights : a study in the formal analysis of legal discourse // Eric Heinze
Pubbl/distr/stampa	London ; ; New York : , : Routledge, , 2003
ISBN	1-134-41982-1 1-134-41983-X 1-280-02301-5 0-203-38080-0
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (353 p.)
Collana	Routledge Studies in Twentieth Century Philosophy ; ; v.No.14
Classificazione	08.40
Disciplina	340/.112
Soggetti	Law - Methodology Natural law Human rights
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Book Cover; Title; Contents; Acknowledgements; Cases and sources; Introduction; Agents; Rights and restrictions; Overview of agents; Parties; Quantification and reverse translation; The individual actor; The personal actor; The non-personal actor; Society; Theorems and proofs; Implication and implicature; Harm; Two harm axioms; Causation; The basic harm symbols; Causation markers; Right-based harm; Restriction-based harm; Consent; The concept of consent; Harm and consent; Volition; Forms of argument; Breach; The Urtheorie; Individualism and collectivism; The background theories Volitional liberalism Non-consensual liberalism; Paternalism; Democracy; Conclusion: a roomful of scholars; Axioms and background theories; Symbols and formulas; Reverse translation rules; European Convention on Human Rights (excerpts); Answers to exercises; Glossary; Notes; Bibliography; Index
Sommario/riassunto	The Logic of Liberal Rights uses basic logic to develop a model of argument presupposed in all disputes about civil rights and liberties. No prior training in logic is required, as each step is explained. This analysis does not merely apply general logic to legal arguments but is

also specifically tailored to the issues of civil rights and liberties. It shows that all arguments about civil rights and liberties presuppose one fixed structure and that there can be no original argument in rights disputes, except within the confines of that structure. Concepts arising in disputes about ri
