

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910812792403321
Autore	Wittes Benjamin
Titolo	Starr : a reassessment // Benjamin Wittes
Pubbl/distr/stampa	New Haven, [CT], : Yale University Press, c2002
ISBN	1-281-74092-6 9786611740924 0-300-12748-0
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (1 online resource (xvii, 251 p.))
Disciplina	345.73/01
Soggetti	Special prosecutors - United States Governmental investigations - United States
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. [203]-241) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Front matter -- Contents -- Preface -- chapter 1: Images of Starr -- chapter 2: Taming the Statute -- chapter 3: The Truth Commission and Whitewater -- chapter 4: The Truth Commission and Monica -- chapter 5: Separating Truth From Justice -- Notes -- Index
Sommario/riassunto	How is Kenneth Starr's extraordinary term as independent counsel to be understood? Was he a partisan warrior out to get the Clintons, or a savior of the Republic? An unstoppable menace, an unethical lawyer, or a sex-obsessed Puritan striving to enforce a right-wing social morality? This book is the first serious, impartial effort to evaluate and critique Starr's tenure as independent counsel. Relying on lengthy, revealing interviews with Starr and many other players in Clinton-era Washington, Washington Post journalist Benjamin Wittes arrives at a new understanding of Starr and the part he played in one of American history's most enthralling public sagas. Wittes offers a subtle and deeply considered portrait of a decent man who fundamentally misconstrued his function under the independent counsel law. Starr took his task to be ferreting out and reporting the truth about official misconduct, a well-intentioned but nevertheless misguided distortion of the law, Wittes argues. At key moments throughout Starr's probe-- from the decision to reinvestigate the death of Vincent Foster, Jr., to the repeated prosecutions of Susan McDougal and Webster Hubbell to

the failure to secure Monica Lewinsky's testimony quickly--the prosecutor avoided the most sensible prosecutorial course, fearing that it would compromise the larger search for truth. This approach not only delayed investigations enormously, but it gave Starr the appearance of partisan zealotry and an almost maniacal determination to prosecute the president. With insight and originality, Wittes provides in this account of Starr's term a fascinating reinterpretation of the man, his performance, and the controversial events that surrounded the impeachment of President Clinton.
