

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910811934603321
Autore	Colburn Forrest D
Titolo	Varieties of liberalism in Central America : nation-states as works in progress // Forrest D. Colburn and Arturo Cruz S
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Austin, : University of Texas Press, 2007
ISBN	0-292-79480-0
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (xv, 115 pages) : illustrations
Altri autori (Persone)	Cruz SArturo J (Cruz Sequeira)
Disciplina	320.5109728
Soggetti	Liberalism - Central America Central America Politics and government 1979-
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. [111]-115).
Nota di contenuto	Enigmatic nation-states and conceptual nihilism -- Geography and myth -- Liberalism and democracy -- Unfettered markets -- What went right? -- What went wrong? -- Choices, constraints, idiosyncrasies, and fortune.
Sommario/riassunto	Why do some countries progress while others stagnate? Why does adversity strengthen some countries and weaken others? Indeed, in this era of unprecedented movement of people, goods, and ideas, just what constitutes a nation-state? Forrest Colburn and Arturo Cruz suggest how fundamental these questions are through an exploration of the evolution of Guatemala, El Salvador, Honduras, Nicaragua, and Costa Rica over the last quarter of a century, a period of intriguing, often confounding, paradoxes in Central America's development. Offering an elegant defense of empiricism, Colburn and Cruz explore the roles of geography and political choice in constructing nations and states. Countries are shown to be unique: there are a daunting number of variables. There is causality, but not the kind that can be revealed in the laboratory or on the blackboard. Liberalism today defined as democracy and unfettered markets may be in vogue, but it has no inherent determinants. Democracy and market economies, when welded to the messy realities of individual countries, are compatible with many different outcomes. The world is more pluralistic in both causes and effects than either academic theories or political rhetoric suggest.

