

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910811735603321
Autore	Orr D. Alan
Titolo	Treason and the state : law, politics and ideology in the English Civil War // D. Alan Orr
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cambridge, : Cambridge University Press, 2002
ISBN	1-107-12864-1 1-280-16016-0 0-511-06666-X 0-511-11831-7 1-139-14626-2 0-511-06035-1 0-511-33106-1 0-511-49596-X 0-511-06879-4
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (229 pages) : digital, PDF file(s)
Collana	Cambridge studies in early modern British history
Disciplina	345.420231
Soggetti	Treason - England - History - 17th century Trials (Treason) - England - History - 17th century
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015).
Nota di contenuto	pt. 1. Concepts. The statutory basis of English treason law -- Sovereignty and state -- pt. 2. Practice. Thomas Wentworth, first Earl of Strafford -- William Laud, Archbishop of Canterbury -- Connor Lord Maguire, second Baron of Enniskillen -- Charles Stuart, King of England.
Sommario/riassunto	This study traces the transition of treason from a personal crime against the monarch to a modern crime against the impersonal state. It consists of four highly detailed case studies of major state treason trials in England beginning with that of Thomas Wentworth, first Earl of Strafford, in the spring of 1641 and ending with that of Charles Stuart, King of England, in January 1649. The book examines how these trials constituted practical contexts in which ideas of statehood and public authority legitimated courses of political action that might ordinarily be

considered unlawful - or at least not within the compass of the foundational statute of Edward III. The ensuing narrative reveals how the events of the 1640s in England challenged existing conceptions of treason as a personal crime against the king, his family and his servants, and pushed the ascendant parliamentarian faction towards embracing an impersonal conception of the state that perceived public authority as completely independent of any individual or group.
