

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| 1. Record Nr. | UNINA9910811187203321 |
| Autore | James Carrie |
| Titolo | Disconnected : youth, new media, and the ethics gap / / Carrie James |
| Pubbl/distr/stampa | Cambridge, Massachusetts : , : The MIT Press, , [2014] ©2014 |
| ISBN | 0-262-32557-8 0-262-52941-6 0-262-32556-X |
| Edizione | [1st ed.] |
| Descrizione fisica | 1 online resource (199 p.) |
| Collana | The John D. and Catherine T. Macarthur Foundation series on digital media and learning |
| Classificazione | 004.678083 JAM |
| Disciplina | 004.67/80835 |
| Soggetti | Internet and youth Internet - Moral and ethical aspects Parental influences |
| Lingua di pubblicazione | Inglese |
| Formato | Materiale a stampa |
| Livello bibliografico | Monografia |
| Note generali | Includes index. |
| Nota di contenuto | Contents; Series Foreword; Foreword: What Were They Thinking?; Acknowledgments; 1 Morality, Ethics, and Digital Life; 2 Privacy; 3 Property; 4 Participation; 5 Correcting the Blind Spots, Reconnecting the Disconnects; Appendix: About the Research; Notes; Index |
| Sommario/riassunto | How young people think about the moral and ethical dilemmas they encounter when they share and use online content and participate in online communities. "Drawing on extensive interviews with young people between the ages of 10 and 25, James describes the nature of their thinking about privacy, property, and participation online. She identifies three ways that young people approach online activities. A teen might practice self-focused thinking, concerned mostly about consequences for herself; moral thinking, concerned about the consequences for people he knows; or ethical thinking, concerned about unknown individuals and larger communities. James finds, among other things, that youth are often blind to moral or ethical concerns about privacy; that attitudes toward property range from "what's theirs is theirs" to "free for all"; that hostile speech can be met with a belief that online content |

is "just a joke"; and that adults who are consulted about such dilemmas often emphasize personal safety issues over online ethics and citizenship. Considering ways to address the digital ethics gap, James offers a vision of conscientious connectivity, which involves ethical thinking skills but, perhaps more important, is marked by sensitivity to the dilemmas posed by online life, a motivation to wrestle with them, and a sense of moral agency that supports socially positive online actions."--Publisher's description.
