1. Record Nr. UNINA9910809464403321 Autore Kramnick Jonathan Brody Titolo Actions and objects from Hobbes to Richardson / / Jonathan Kramnick Stanford, Calif., : Stanford University Press, c2010 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 0-8047-7512-5 Edizione [1st ed.] Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (320 p.) 820.9/384 Disciplina Soggetti English literature - 18th century - History and criticism English literature - Early modern, 1500-1700 - History and criticism Act (Philosophy) in literature Philosophy of mind in literature Causation in literature Philosophy, English - 17th century Philosophy, English - 18th century Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Description based upon print version of record. Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto Actions and Objects from Hobbes to Richardson -- Contents -- Preface -- Introduction: Nothing from Nothing -- 1. Actions, Agents, Causes -- 2. Consciousness and Mental Causation: Lucretius, Rochester, Locke -- 3. Rochester's Mind -- 4. Uneasiness, or Locke among Others -- 5. Haywood and Consent -- 6. Action and Inaction in Samuel Richardson's Clarissa -- Notes -- Index Sommario/riassunto How do minds cause events in the world? How does wanting to write a letter cause a person's hands to move across the page, or believing something to be true cause a person to make a promise? In Actions and Objects, Jonathan Kramnick examines the literature and philosophy of action during the late seventeenth and early eighteenth centuries, when philosophers and novelists, poets and scientists were all concerned with the place of the mind in the world. These writers asked whether belief, desire, and emotion were part of nature—and thus subject to laws of cause and effect—or in a special place outside the natural order. Kramnick puts particular emphasis on those who tried to make

> actions compatible with external determination and to blur the boundary between mind and matter. He follows a long tradition of

examining the close relation between literary and philosophical writing during the period, but fundamentally revises the terrain. Rather than emphasizing psychological depth and interiority or asking how literary works were understood as true or fictional, he situates literature alongside philosophy as jointly interested in discovering how minds work.