1. Record Nr. UNINA9910809179503321 Titolo Advances in the syntax of DPs: structure, agreement, and case // edited by Anna Bondaruk, Grete Dalmi, Alexander Grosu; contributors. Artur Bartnik [and twelve others] Pubbl/distr/stampa Amsterdam, Netherlands;; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania:,: John Benjamins Publishing Company, , 2014 ©2014 **ISBN** 90-272-6969-6 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (338 p.) Collana Linguistik Aktuell = Linguistics Today, , 0166-0829 ; ; Volume 217 Disciplina 415 Soggetti Grammar, Comparative and general - Syntax Grammar, Comparative and general - Determiners Grammar, Comparative and general - Case Semantics Definiteness (Linguistics) Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Description based upon print version of record. Includes bibliographical references at the end of each chapters and Nota di bibliografia index. Nota di contenuto Advances in the Syntax of DPs; Editorial page; Title page; LCC data: Dedication page; Table of content; List of contributors; List of abbreviations; List of figures; Editors' note; Preface; Introduction; References; The overgeneration problem and the case of semipredicatives in Russian; 1. Introduction; 2. Some empirical and conceptual issues; 2.1 "Divided" control; 2.2 The problem of variation; 3. Some alternative approaches; 3.1 Vertical binding; 3.2 Control as movement; 3.3 A probe-goal and Agree account; 3.4 A government and binding account; 4. Comparison of approaches: Overarching issues 4.1 Agreement in case 4.2 Variation; 4.3 Avoiding overgeneration; 5. Semipredicatives versus other adjectives; 5.1 Some data and puzzles; 5.2 Direct assignment; 6. Components of a solution; 6.1 Some leading ideas; 6.1.1 Agreement versus assignment; 6.1.2 Dative versus instrumental; 6.1.3 Arguments versus adjuncts; 6.2 Predicate adjective agreement is local; 6.2.1 Structure is parsimonious; 6.3

Semipredicatives versus ordinary adjectives: 6.3.1 Why ordinary

adjectives do not enter into the SD; 6.3.2 Why semipredicatives are not default instrumental

6.3.3 Why ordinary adjectives always have an instrumental option 6.3.4 Why semipredicatives must agree where ordinary adjectives can; 6.3.5 Why agreement under obligatory Object Control is only possible for semipredicatives; 6.4 Brief thoughts on variation; 6.5 Movement, multi-attachment, timing, and feature sharing; References; Polish equatives as symmetrical structures; 1. Introduction; 2. Inventory of Polish equatives; 3. How do equatives differ from predicational and specificational clauses in Polish?; 3.1 Predicational and specificational clauses in Polish

3.2 Equatives vs. predicational and specificational clauses in Polish 4. Asymmetrical structure for Polish equatives; 5. Symmetrical structure of Polish equatives; 5.1 Pereltsvaig's (2001, 2007) analysis; 5.2 Pereltsvaig's analysis applied to Polish; 6. Summary; References; Syntactic (dis)agreement is not semantic agreement; 1. Introduction; 2. Two types of number mismatch; 2.1 Singulars with plural agreement ('sg/pl'); 2.2 Plurals with singular agreement ('pl/sg'); 2.3 The proposal; 3. Two types of number mismatch: Empirical evidence; 3.1 Distribution: Copular clauses versus verbal predicates

3.2 Binding and control 3.3 Semantic properties of the subject; 3.3.1 Quantification; 3.3.2 Definiteness, specificity and genericity; 3.4 Productivity; 4. An analysis of phi-feature mismatches; 4.1 Previous proposals; 4.2 Against a one-level model; 4.3 A two-level model of agreement; 4.4 Application to pl/sg and sg/pl; 4.5 Residual problems; 5. Conclusion; References; A note on oblique case; 1. Introduction; 2. Oblique case, indeclinable nominals, and a Case Realization Condition; 2.1 Undeclined nominals; 2.2 Oblique case and a case realization requirement; 3. The Puzzle

4. Oblique case is uniformly P-governed: The P-copying proposal

## Sommario/riassunto

This chapter argues for the view that Standard Free Relatives and Transparent Free Relatives have exactly the same bi-dimensional configurational structures, and against the view that they have distinct multi-dimensional structures, the transparent variety being externally headed by a token of a CP-internal post-copular phrase. It is argued that the proposed view yields superior analyses of the following facts: [i] Transparent Free Relatives are typically construed as existentially quantified, regardless of the quantificational force of the pivot, and [ii] certain case mismatch effects, predic