

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910808093803321
Titolo	Bavarian syntax : contributions to the theory of syntax / / edited by Gunther Grewendorf, Helmut Weiss
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Amsterdam ; ; Philadelphia : , : John Benjamins Publishing Company, , [2014] ©2014
ISBN	90-272-6935-1
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (345 pages)
Collana	Linguistik aktuell = Linguistics today, , 0166-0829 ; ; volume 220
Disciplina	437/.9433
Soggetti	German language - Dialects - Bavarian German language - Dialects - Germany - Bavaria German language - Syntax German language - Grammar
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Bavarian Syntax; Editorial page; Title page; LCC data; Table of content ; Aspects of Bavarian syntax; Gunther Grewendorf; Aspects of Bavarian Syntax; 1. Dialect syntax and Universal Grammar; 2. Specific properties of Bavarian syntax; 2.1 Doubly filled COMP; 2.2 Complementizer agreement and partial pro-drop; 2.3 Extraction phenomena; 2.4 Negative concord; 2.5 The contributions; References; Syntactic and phonological properties of wh-operators and wh-movement in Bavarian; Josef Bayer; Syntactic and phonological properties of wh-operators and wh-movement in Bavarian; 1. Introduction 2. When wh moves to C3. Conceptual and theoretical motivation; 4. Judgments; 5. Production; 6. Extraction from wh-CPs; 7. Extraction to the specifier of wh-CPs: Emphatic topicalization; 8. Cliticization and consonantal epenthesis; 9. Complementizer inflection; 10. Conclusions and a glimpse beyond Bavarian; Acknowledgements; References; Complementizer agreement (in Bavarian); Feature inheritance or feature insertion?*; Eric Fuß; Complementizer agreement (in Bavarian); 1. Introduction; 2. In favor of a post-syntactic analysis; 2.1 Adjacency effects; 2.2 The rationale for feature inheritance

2.3 Right node raising; 2.4 Comparative deletion; 3. C-agr as feature insertion; 3.1 Lack of C-agr in comparatives/right node raising; 3.2 Double agreement; 3.3 Adjacency effects; 3.4 First conjunct agreement; 3.5 External possessor agreement (in West Flemish); 4. Conclusions; References; The rise and fall of double agreement; A comparison between Carinthian and Kansas Bukovina Bohemian; Melani Wratil*; The rise and fall of double agreement; 1. Introduction; 2. The Restoration of 1st person plural double agreement effects in Carinthian; 2.1 Word order and syntactic brackets in Carinthian
2.2 Strong and weak subject pronouns in Carinthian; 2.3 1st person plural double agreement in Carinthian; 2.4 The C-oriented 1st person plural marker; 2.5 Loss and restoration of double agreement; 3. Residual 1st person plural double agreement in Kansas Bukovina Bohemian; 3.1 Word order and syntactic brackets in Kansas Bukovina Bohemian; 3.2 Strong and weak subject pronouns in Kansas Bukovina Bohemian; 3.3 1st person plural double agreement and C-oriented agreement marking in Kansas Bukovina Bohemian; 3.4 The rise of uniform agreement; 3.5 Residual double agreement and homonymy flight
4. Conclusion; References; Structures of 'Emphatic Topicalization' in Bavarian; Uli Lutz; Structures of 'Emphatic Topicalization' in Bavarian; 1. Basic properties of emphatic topicalization; 1.1 Complementizers in ET; 1.2 ET constituents; 1.3 ET movement and pied piping; 1.4 Summing up: Basic properties of ET constructions; 2. Rizzi me - Structural approaches to emphatic topicalization; 2.1 Emphasis (Bayer 2001, 2006; Bayer & Dasgupta (to appear)); 2.2 'Bavarian Extraction' (Grewendorf 2012); 2.3 To BE or ET, is this a question?; 3. ET and Standard German; 3.1 DFC considerations; 3.2 Low or high C

Sommario/riassunto

This essay is essentially a list of phenomena taken from the two large dialect areas of what is called Upper German (for German Oberdeutsch, South German (SG henceforth), comprising Austrian and Bavarian dialects as well as High Alemannic). The author himself speaks natively (base and high school) Viennese Austrian and the dialect of the Montafon, Vorarlberg, as samples of these two dialect areas. Although the critical assumptions of micro-linguistics (cf. Poletto 2000; Kayne 2013; Abraham & Leiss 2013) form the bottom seed, no theoretical discussions are entertained as consequences to the emp
