1. Record Nr. UNINA9910807021903321 Modes of modality: modality, typology, and universal grammar / / **Titolo** Edited by Elisabeth Leiss, University of Munich; Werner Abraham, University of Vienna Amsterdam:,: John Benjamins Publishing Company,, 2014 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 90-272-7079-1 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (517 p.) Studies in language companion series, , 0165-7763;; v. 149 Collana Altri autori (Persone) LeissElisabeth **AbrahamWerner** Disciplina 415/.6 Soggetti Modality (Linguistics) Grammar, Comparative and general - Mood Grammar, Comparative and general - Tense Cognitive grammar Typology (Linguistics) Linguistic universals Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Description based upon print version of record. Note generali Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di bibliografia Nota di contenuto Modes of Modality; Editorial page; Title page; LCC data; Table of contents; Introduction; Elisabeth Leiss & Werner Abraham; Introduction; 1. General background: 2. Formal properties of root vs. epistemic and evidential modality; 3. Modality in typological survey; 4. Interfaces between speech act, conditional, and modality; 5. Modality reconceptualized; 6. Covert modality; References; part i; Formal properties of modality; Interpreting modals by phase heads\*; Daigo Akiba; Interpreting modals by phase heads\*; 1. Introduction; 2. Root vs. epistemic modals; 2.1 Structural positions; 2.2 Scope 2.2.1 Tense 2.2.2 Adverbs; 2.2.3 Quantifiers; 2.3 Interim summary; 3. The phase-based approach to modal interpretations; 3.1 Phase-byphase modal interpretation; 3.2 Butler's (2003) analysis; 3.3 Modal interpretations by phase heads; 4. Conclusion; Abbreviations; References; Evidentiality straddling T- and C-domains\*; Nadia Varley; Evidentiality straddling T- and C-domains\*; 1. Introduction; 2.

Approaching the object of inquiry; 2.1 What is evidentiality?; 2.2

Evidential types; 2.3 The ASP/TNS/Mood triplet and evidentiality; 2.3.1 Theoretical background

2.3.2 The perfect paradigm and evidentiality 2.3.3 Usages of the -I morpheme in Bulgarian; 2.3.4 On mirativity; 2.3.5 Modality and evidentiality; 3. The proposal; 3.1 Speas (2004); 3.2 Deixis; 3.3 On the 1/2PRSN vs. 3PRSN asymmetry; 3.4 Person geometry and evidential "vouchers"; 3.5 The structural position(s) of evidentiality; 3.5.1 Epistemic and root modality; 3.5.2 A pervasive asymmetry: i-EVID vs. h-EVID

3.5.2.1 The doings of the left periphery. The main claim of this paper is that there is a sharp distinction to be drawn between hearsay/quotative evidentiality and inferential evidentiality (with mirativity yet a way higher, cf. 2.3.4). This also means t3.5.2.2 Explaining (and redressing) the 'boojum'. In a sequence of papers, Friedman (1982, 1986, 2001) consistently argues that the Bulgarian evidential is an elusive 'boojum', and auxiliary suppliance in conjunction with -l participles subject to (styli; 3.6 Interim considerations; 4. Crossroads of evidentiality 4.1 Logophoricity, logophoric domains, and control 5. Concluding remarks; Abbreviations; References; part ii; Typological surveys; The syntax of modal polyfunctionality revisited; Evidence from the languages of Europe; Bjorn Hansen; The syntax of modal polyfunctionality revisited; 0. Introduction; 1. The typological data; 2. Approaches to modal polyfunctionality; 2.1 The tradition in Germanic linguistics; 2.2 The typological perspective; 3. A classificatory typology of modal constructions; 3.1 Subject encoding; 3.2 INFL: Subjectpredicate agreement

## Sommario/riassunto

Modality is one of the grammatical categories in Igbo language studies that received the least attention within the last century. There is, however, a growing awareness that the category of modality is expressed in the language through specific verbs and suffixes that have recently been (re-)categorised as modal verbs and modal suffixes, respectively (Uchechukwu 2008, 2011). This paper explores further the issue of modality in Igbo by focusing on the constructions and lexical items that could be involved in the implicit expression of modality in the language. The different types of construction

3.2.1 Subject-predicate agreement marking in modal constructions

without copula