

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910806873203321
Autore	Keown John
Titolo	Euthanasia, ethics, and public policy : an argument against legalisation // John Keown
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cambridge, UK ; ; New York, NY, : Cambridge University Press, 2002
ISBN	1-107-12362-3 9786610433407 0-511-15730-4 0-511-17646-5 0-511-49533-1 1-280-43340-X 0-511-32958-X 0-521-00933-2 0-511-04393-7
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (xx, 318 pages) : digital, PDF file(s)
Disciplina	179.7
Soggetti	Euthanasia Euthanasia - Moral and ethical aspects Euthanasia - Social aspects Terminal care - Moral and ethical aspects
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015).
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 292-302) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Cover; Half-title; Title; Copyright; Dedication; CONTENTS; PREFACE; FOREWORD; ACKNOWLEDGMENTS; TABLE OF CASES; ABBREVIATIONS; Introduction; PART I Definitions; 1 'Voluntary euthanasia'; 'Voluntary'; 'Euthanasia'; 'Euthanasia' as the active, intentional termination of life; 'Euthanasia' as the intentional termination of life by act or by omission; 'Euthanasia' as intentional or foreseen life-shortening; Conclusions; 2 Intended v. foreseen life-shortening; Distinguishing intention from foresight; Moral difference; The principle of 'double effect'; Double effect in traditional medical ethics Double effect in criminal lawAnnie Lindsell; Dr David Moor; Woollin; Intended ends and intended means; 'Catch-22'; Conclusion; 3

'Physician-assisted suicide'; Conclusions; PART II The ethical debate: human life, autonomy, legal hypocrisy, and the slippery slope; 4 The value of human life; 'Vitalism' v. 'sanctity/inviolability of life' v. 'Quality of life'; Vitalism; Sanctity/inviolability of life; The prohibition of intentional killing; Intention and foresight; Acts and omissions; The worthwhileness of treatment: its benefits and burdens; Quality of life Distinguishing 'Quality of life' from 'quality of life' Illustrating the distinctions; Mary's case; Dr V(italism); Dr Q(uality of life); Dr I (nviolability of life); Angela; Dr V; Dr Q; Dr I; Conclusion; 5 The value of autonomy; The 'right to choose'; Choosing what is right; The purpose and value of autonomy; The right to refuse futile or excessively burdensome treatments; How autonomous?; Conclusion; 6 Legal hypocrisy?; The current law; VAE as murder; Autonomy and the law; Five criticisms of the current law; Ineffective?; Palliative care and euthanasia; A right to commit suicide? A right to commit suicide by refusing treatment? Active and passive euthanasia; Conclusion; 7 The slippery slope arguments; VAE in principle and in practice; The nature of the slippery slope argument; Empirical and logical slopes; The empirical argument; The logical argument; Voluntariness; 'Unbearable suffering'; Conclusion; PART III The Dutch experience: controlling VAE? condoning NVAE?; 8 The guidelines; The Dutch law; 'Strict safeguards'?; The guidelines; 'Precisely defined' and 'strict'?; The government bill; Conclusion; 9 The first Survey: the incidence of 'euthanasia' The Rummelink Report and the Van der Maas Survey The findings of the Survey; Methodology; The retrospective study; The death certificate study; The prospective study; How much 'euthanasia'?; VAE; Other cases of 'euthanasia'; 2,700 or 9,050?; 'Dances with data'?; Conclusion; 10 Breach of the guidelines; An explicit request; An 'entirely free and voluntary' request which was 'well considered, durable and persistent'?; 'Life-terminating acts without the patient's explicit request'; Other cases lacking an explicit request; Unbearable suffering and last resort; Unbearable suffering?; Last resort? Consultation and reporting

---

## Sommario/riassunto

Whether the law should permit voluntary euthanasia or physician-assisted suicide is one of the most vital questions facing all modern societies. Internationally, the main obstacle to legalisation has proved to be the objection that, even if they were morally acceptable in certain 'hard cases', voluntary euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide could not be effectively controlled; society would slide down a 'slippery slope' to the killing of patients who did not make a free and informed request, or for whom palliative care would have offered an alternative. How cogent is this objection? This book provides the general reader (who need have no expertise in philosophy, law or medicine) with a lucid introduction to this central question in the debate, not least by reviewing the Dutch euthanasia experience. It will interest all in any country whether currently for or against legalisation, who wish to ensure that their opinions are better informed.

---