Record Nr. UNINA9910806208203321 Autore Chodosh Hiram Titolo Global justice reform: a comparative methodology / / Hiram E. Chodosh Pubbl/distr/stampa New York, : New York University Press, c2005 0-8147-9035-6 **ISBN** 1-4294-1392-1 Edizione [1st ed.] Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (240 p.) Disciplina 340.2 Justice, Administration of Soggetti Judicial power Justice, Administration of - Political aspects Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph Note generali Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references (p. 199-220) and index. Nota di contenuto Introduction -- The comparative method: which method? --Comparing comparisons -- The most neglected branch -- Between rocks and hard places -- Emergence from the dilemmas -- Conclusion : the prospects for a comparative methodology in global justice reform. Sommario/riassunto Global Justice Reform critiques and rethinks two neglected subjects: the nature of comparison in the field of comparative law and the struggles of national judicial systems to meet global rule of law objectives. Hiram Chodosh offers a candid look at the surprisingly underdeveloped methodology of comparative legal studies, and provides a creative conceptual framework for defining and understanding the whys, whats, and hows of comparison. Additionally, Chodosh demonstrates how theories of comparative law translate into practice, using contemporary global justice reform initiatives as a case study, with a particular focus on Indonesia and India. Chodosh highlights the gap between the critical

role of judicial institutions and their poor performance (for example, political interference, corruption, backlog, and delay), discussing why reform is so elusive, and demonstrating the unavoidable and essential role of comparison in reform proposals. Throughout the book, Chodosh

identifies several sources of comparative misunderstanding that impede successful reforms and identifies the many predicaments

reformers face, detailing a wide variety of designs, methods, and social dilemmas. In response to these seemingly insurmountable challenges, Chodosh advances some novel conceptual strategies, first by drawing on a body of non-legal scholarship on self-regulating, emergent systems, and then by identifying a series of anti-dilemma strategies that draw upon insights about the nature of comparison.