1. Record Nr. UNINA9910799492403321

Titolo Diversity of Enforcement Titles in the EU / / Vesna Rijavec [and three

others] editors

Pubbl/distr/stampa Cham, Switzerland:,: Springer Nature Switzerland AG,, [2023]

©2023

ISBN 3-031-47108-3

Edizione [First edition.]

Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (401 pages)

Collana Ius Gentium ; ; Volume 111

Disciplina 346.078

Soggetti Debtor and creditor

Enforcement measures (International law)

Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese

Formato Materiale a stampa

Livello bibliografico Monografia

Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references.

Nota di contenuto Intro -- Foreword -- Preface -- Contents -- Contributors -- Part I:

General Considerations and Classification of Enforcement Titles --Enforcement Titles Under Brussels I bis Regulation from National to EU

Frameworks -- 1 Introduction -- 2 The General Concept of

Enforcement Title -- 3 List of Enforcement Titles -- 4 Civil and

Commercial Matters: Enforcement Titles in the Scope of Brussels I bis Regulation -- 5 Judgment -- 5.1 Concept -- 5.2 Bodies Conforming to

the Definition of `Courts and Tribunals´ Under the Brussels I bis Regulation and Due Process Requirem... -- 5.3 Judgment v. Decision --

5.3.1 Writ of Execution -- 5.3.2 Decision/Decree in Merits of a Case --

5.3.3 Decisions Besides the Main Claim: Decisions on Costs of

Proceedings -- 5.3.4 Procedural Decisions -- Decisions on Contempt of Court -- 5.3.5 Decisions for Inspection of a Document in Civil

Proceedings -- 5.3.6 Decisions on Admissibility of Procedure -- 5.3.7

Proceedings -- 3.3.0 Decisions on Admissibility of Procedure -- 3.3.7

Decisions on the Course of Procedure -- 5.3.8 Judgments on Judgments -- 5.3.9 `Merger Judgments´ -- 5.3.10 Procedural

Decisions of Enforcement -- 5.4 Provisional, Including Protective,

Measures -- 5.4.1 Intermediate Conclusion -- 6 Categorisation by Type of Proceedings -- 7 Categorisation by Type of Decision Depending on

Parties' Autonomy -- 7.1 Judgments by Default -- 7.2 Judgment on the Basis of Acknowledgment of the Claim -- 7.3 Judgment on the

Basis of the Plaintiff's Waiver -- 8 Categorisation of Judgments by the

Positive or Negative Relief Awarded with Respect to the Claim -- 8.1 Judgments Dismissing a Claim -- 9 Categorisation by the Scope of Decision (Final, Partial, or Interim Judgments) -- 10 Factors Relating to the Structure of the Enforcement Title -- 10.1 Elements of a Judgment -- 10.2 Introductory Part -- 10.3 Issues with the Operative Part --10.3.1 Delineation Between Operative Part and Reasoning of a Judament. 10.3.2 Content of the Operative Part -- 10.3.3 Joinder -- 10.3.4 Claim as the Subject Matter -- 10.3.5 Conditional, Reciprocal Obligations --10.3.6 Time of Fulfilment of Obligations -- 10.4 The Problem of Precision of Content -- 10.4.1 Interest -- 10.4.2 Due Date -- 10.5 Reasoning of a Judgment -- 11 The Role of the Certificates Under Brussels I bis Regulation in Identifying the Claim to be Enforced -- 11.1 Concept -- 11.2 Effects -- 11.3 Accuracy of the Content of Certificate: Compatibility with Enforcement Title -- 11.4 The Primacy of the Enforcement Title -- 11.5 Rectification or Withdrawal of the Certificate -- 11.6 Repeated Issue of Certificate of Enforceability -- 12 Effects of Judgments -- 12.1 Introduction -- 12.2 Method of Effects' Recognition in Another Member State -- 12.3 Theories on Finality and Res Judicata -- 12.4 Decisions Capable to be Res Judicata -- 12.5 Subject Matter Scope of Res Judicata -- 12.6 Binding Effect of Operative Part and Reasoning -- 12.7 Personal Scope of Res Judicata -- 12.8 Time Limits: Issue Preclusion -- 12.9 Time of Entry the Effect of Res Judicata --12.10 Enforceability Effect -- 12.10.1 National Requirements --12.10.2 Provisional Enforceability -- 13 Identity of Many Claims --13.1 Related Actions -- 14 Irreconcilable Judgments -- 14.1 Mechanisms to Avoid Irreconcilable Judgments -- 14.2 Concept of Irreconcilable Judgment -- 14.3 More on the Scope of Article 45(c) and (d) Brussels I bis Regulatiom -- 14.4 The Criticism of Article 45 (1)(c) and (d) -- 15 Court Settlement According to Brussels I bis Regulation -- 15.1 Intermediate Conclusion -- 16 Authentic Instrument According to Brussels I bis Regulation -- 16.1 Concept -- 16.2 Incompatible Authentic Instruments -- 16.3 Diversity of Enforceable Authentic Instruments -- 17 Intermediate Conclusion. 18 National Structural Differences in Enforcement Procedures -- 19 Problems of Terminology -- 20 Conclusions -- References --Enforcement Titles in the EU: Common Core After All? -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Res Judicata -- 3 Enforceability -- 4 Judgment Binding Effects "Erga Omnes" -- 5 Negative Declaratory Action -- 6 Enforcement Title -- 7 Concept of Judgment -- 8 Interest Rate -- 9 Conclusions: Common Core After All? -- References -- Part II: Judgment -- Types of Judgments According to Different Criteria -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Titles -- 2.1 Variations in Terminologies -- 2.2 Judgments in National Laws -- 2.3 Decisions, Orders, Etc. in National Laws -- 2.4 Judgments in Brussels I bis Regulation -- 2.4.1 An EU Autonomous Concept -- 2.4.2 Excluded Judgments -- 2.4.3 Final and Non-Final Judgments -- 2.5 Some Concise Reflections -- 2.5.1 Decisions Delivered Ex Parte --2.5.2 Court Settlements -- 3 Decision Makers and Procedural Stages --4 Effects of Judgments -- 4.1 In National Laws of Some Member States -- 4.2 In Brussels I bis Regulation According to the CJEU -- 5 Five Conclusions -- References -- Is a Judgment Always a Judgment? A Dutch-Belgian Comparative Perspective -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Enforcement Titles Originating in the Netherlands or Belgium -- 3 Judgments -- 4 Characterising Features and Structure of Judgments --4.1 Judgment (Vonnis or, in Appeal Proceedings, Arrest) -- 4.2 Order (Beschikking) -- 5 Binding Effects of a Judgment -- References --Comparative View on the Divergence of Structure and Substance of Judgements -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Structure of Judgements -- 2.1

Regulation of the Structure of Court Documents -- 2.2 Level of Standardisation -- 3 Main Elements of a Judgement -- 4 Introductory Part and Other General Information -- 4.1 Personal Information of the Parties.

4.2 Indicating the Amount in Dispute and the Underlying Legal Relationship -- 4.3 Time Limits for Voluntary Fulfilment -- 5 Operative Part of the Judgement -- 5.1 Threat of Enforcement -- 5.2 Formal Rigidity of the Operative Part -- 6 Reasoning -- 6.1 The Length of Reasoning -- 6.2 Addressing Procedural Issues -- 6.3 The Distinction Between the Parties' Statements and the Court's Assessments -- 7 Conclusions -- References -- Comparative Issues Concerning the Determination of Interest -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Comparative Analysis -- 2.1 Types of Interest -- 2.1.1 Statutory and Contractual Interest --2.1.2 Default Interest -- 2.2 Interest Calculation Methodology -- 2.3 Currency -- 2.4 Limitations in Interest Calculation -- 3 Conclusions --References -- Part III: Effects of Judgments -- Effects of Judgments in Cross-Border Perspective -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Provisional Enforceability -- 2.1 First Instance Judgment Immediately Enforceable as of Right -- 2.2 Decision on Provisional Enforceability in the Individual Case -- 2.3 Provisional Enforcement Limited to Security Measures -- 3 Obtaining Formal Certification of Enforceability -- 3.1 Germany -- 3.2 Austria -- 3.3 France -- 4 Application to Brussels I bis Regulation -- 4.1 A Tendency to Assimilate the Article 53 Certificate to National Certificates of Enforceability -- 4.2 Preliminary References Concerning the Interpretation of Article 53 -- 4.2.1 Admissibility of the Reference -- 4.2.2 The Function of the Article 53 Certificate -- 4.2.3 Emerging Questions: In the State of Origin -- 4.2.4 Emerging Questions: In the Enforcement State -- 5 Conclusions -- References --Searching for Res Judicata at the Edges of National Procedural Autonomy -- 1 Introduction -- 2 The Limits of National Procedural Autonomy -- 2.1 Absence of EU Law Provisions. 2.2 The Foremost Criteria of Effectiveness: The Procedural `Rule of Reason' -- 2.3 The Pitfalls of Autonomy and Negative Harmonisation -- 3 National Procedural Autonomy and the Scope of Res Judicata --3.1 Prevailing Models -- 3.1.1 German Model -- 3.1.2 French Model --3.1.3 Italian Model -- 3.1.4 Netherlands Model -- 3.1.5 Intermediary conclusion -- 3.2 National Res Judicatae in Procedural Autonomy Case Law -- 3.2.1 Köbler -- 3.2.2 Lucchini -- 3.2.3 Fallimento Olimpiclub -- 3.2.4 Klausner Holz -- 3.2.5 Finanmadrid -- 3.2.6 Intermediate Conclusion -- 4 Res Judicata in the Brussels Regime -- 4.1 The Scope According to Gothaer -- 4.2 Lis pendens and the Triple Identity Test --5 Conclusion -- References -- The Effects of Judgments and Court Settlements in Cross-border Collective Redress and the Brussels I bis Regulation: Houston, ... -- 1 Introduction -- 2 (Cross-border) Collective Redress and the EU -- 2.1 The Applicability of the Brussels I bis Regulation in Cross-border Collective Redress -- 3 The Collective Settlements Under the Brussels I bis Regulation -- 3.1 Collective Court Settlements -- 3.2 Collective Out-of-Court Settlements -- 4 The Binding Effect of Judgments and Court Settlements in Cross-border Collective Redress -- 4.1 The Res Judicata Effect of Decisions in Collective Redress -- 4.2 The Evidentiary Effect of the Foreign Judgments and Court-Settlements -- 5 Grounds for Refusal of Recognition and Enforcement in Cross-border Collective Redress -- 5.1 Opt-Out Systems and the Lack of Correct Service of the Introductory Document in the Proceedings (Article 45(1) a) and b) o... -- 5.2 Irreconcilability of Judgments and Court Settlements (Article 45(1) c) and d) of the Brussels I bis Regulation) -- 6 Conclusion -- References -- Enforceability and Enforcement Appeals: Continental Law -- 1