

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910799241303321
Autore	Oliver-Lalana A. Daniel
Titolo	Debating Laws : Studies on Parliamentary Justification of Legislation
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cham : , : Springer International Publishing AG, , 2024 ©2024
ISBN	3-031-46727-2
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (329 pages)
Collana	Legisprudence Library ; ; v.10
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di contenuto	Intro -- Preface -- References -- Contents -- Contributors -- Chapter 1: Legislative Debates in Parliament as a Source of Statutory Justification: A Framework for Analysis and Evaluation -- 1 Legislative Reasons and Parliamentary Deliberation: The `Concentrate´ Hypothesis -- 2 Legisprudential Reconstruction and Analysis of Legislative Debates in Parliament -- 2.1 Legislative Arguments -- 2.2 Levels of Legislative Justification -- 2.3 Spectrum of Legislative Reasons -- 2.4 Teleological Structure of Legislative Justification -- 3 Evaluation of Legislative Debates -- 3.1 Politological Evaluations: Discourse Quality and Deliberative Intelligence -- 3.2 Argumentativist Evaluation: Argument Schemes and Critical Questions -- 3.3 Legislative Semantics and Legisprudential Evaluation of Debates -- 4 A Legisprudential Approach to the Evaluation of Legislative Debates in Parliament -- 4.1 Debate Extent -- 4.2 Structural Correctness -- 4.2.1 An Argumentation Scheme for Legislative Justification -- 4.2.2 Critical Questions or Stock Issues in Legislative Deliberations -- 4.3 Dialectical (or Dialectical-Deliberative) Thoroughness -- 4.4 Plausibility -- 4.5 Limitations of the Evaluation Approach -- 5 Legislative Debates and Representative Democracy -- 6 Concluding Remarks -- References -- Chapter 2: Was It Really `To No Avail´? The Legislative Deliberations on Underage Girls´ Access to Abortion in Spain -- 1 A Legisprudential Approach to Legislative Debate Evaluation -- 2 The Legislative Scenario (Organic Law 11/2015) -- 3 Extent of the Legislative Deliberations -- 4 Structure and Stock Issues of Legislative Justification -- 5 Dialectical-

Deliberative Value of the Legislative Justification -- 6 Plausibility of the Justification Contained in the Debates -- 7 Was It Really 'To No Avail'? -- References.

Chapter 3: Legislative Debates on Death with Dignity and Euthanasia. An Approach to the Spanish Situation -- 1 Approach -- 2 Context and Occasio Legis -- 3 Scope of the Legislative Debates -- 3.1 Linguistic Rationality -- 3.2 Communicative Rationality -- 3.3 Systematic Rationality -- 3.4 Pragmatic Rationality -- 3.5 Teleological Rationality -- 3.6 Axiological Rationality -- 4 The Economic Impact Argument, the Social Support Argument, and the Legislative Haste -- 4.1 The Economic Impact -- 4.2 The Social Support -- 4.3 The Legislative Haste -- 5 Legislative Dialogue -- 6 Taking Stock -- 6.1 A Final Assessment -- 6.2 A Proposal -- References -- Chapter 4: Debating on the Rights of Crime Victims: A Legisprudential Examination of the Parliamentary Deliberation on the Spa... -- 1 Introduction: Reasons and Arguments for the Return of Victims to Crime Policy -- 2 The Analysis of the Parliamentary Argumentation on the Victim Protection Act -- 2.1 Some Conceptual and Methodological Clarifications -- 2.2 Contextualisation of the Legislative Process -- 3 The Parliamentary Discussion of the LEVD: Agreements and Disagreements -- 4 De-politicisation, Consensus and the Role of Victims: The Basis for a Seemingly Peaceful Debate -- 5 Arguments Concerning the Intervention of the Victim at the Penalty Enforcement Stage (Art. 13 LEVD) -- 6 Conclusions: Agreements and Disagreements About Victims -- References -- Chapter 5: Expelling, Discussing, and Throwing Values Out -- 1 Regulating Expulsion (Introduction) -- 2 Six Years Later: Venice, Strasbourg, the Gap and the Knot -- 3 Six Years Before. On Legislative Liturgy: Expelling and Discussing -- 3.1 Commitment, Rigour, Respect and the 'Parliamentary Roller' -- 3.2 Securitarian Patriotism, Responsibility to Defend and a Virtuous Balance -- 3.3 In the Name of Rights. The Friendly Face of State Racism. 4 The 'Impossible' Made Possible -- 5 Throwing Values Out (Conclusions) -- Annex: Parliamentary Debates -- References -- Chapter 6: Parliamentary Debate as a Source of Justification for the Combat Against Gender Violence Act -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Background and occasio legis of the Combat against Gender Violence Act (LVG) -- 3 Parliamentary Justification, Processing and Deliberation -- 4 Arguments Within Parliament -- 5 Concluding Remarks -- References -- Chapter 7: Parliamentary Debates on Joint Custody Legislation -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Joint Custody -- 3 Methodology -- 4 Discussion of Law 2/2010 -- 4.1 Context -- 4.2 Extent (Scope) -- 4.3 Structure -- 4.4 Dialectics -- 5 Discussion of Law 6/2019 -- 5.1 Context -- 5.2 Extent (Scope) -- 5.3 Structure -- 5.4 Dialectics -- 6 Conclusion -- References -- Chapter 8: Parliamentary Debates on Bullfighting in Spain: Animals Lost in Translation? -- 1 Introduction -- 2 The Animal Issue in the Parliamentary Processing of Law 18/2013 -- 3 Analysis of the Arguments in the Parliamentary Debate -- 3.1 Arguments in Favour of a Law Regulating Bullfighting as an Asset of Cultural Interest -- 3.1.1 Bullfighting Is Culture and Part of the National Identity -- 3.1.2 Against the Ban and in Favour of Citizens' Freedom to Go to the Bullfights -- 3.1.3 Bullfighting Creates Wealth and Employment -- 3.1.4 Bullfighting Helps to Protect the 'Dehesa' and the Ecosystem -- 3.1.5 The Bull Does Not Suffer During the Bullfight -- 3.2 Arguments Against the Law Regulating Bullfighting as an Asset of Cultural Interest -- 3.2.1 Against Centralism: The Law Is an Encroachment of Competence by the State -- 3.2.2 Bulls Do Not Represent Everyone's Identity -- 3.2.3 Culture and Identity Cannot Be Built on Cruelty to Animals -- 4 Discussion -- 5 Conclusions -- Annex:

Parliamentary Debates on Law 18/2013 -- References.

Chapter 9: Minimum Vital Income: A Legislative Debate from the
`Participant-Observer` Perspective -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Minimum
Vital Income: Formation of an Idea -- 3 The Incorporation of the
Rhetorics into the Parliamentary Debate: The Decree-Law 20/2020 -- 4
The Evolution of a Parliamentary Debate -- 4.1 Pre-legislative Debates
-- 4.2 Legislative Debates -- 5 Discussion -- References -- Chapter
10: Interpreting Fundamental Rights from the (Parliamentary) Bench.
Notes on Constitutional Argumentation in Legislati... -- 1 Introduction
-- 2 On the Functions of the Invocation of Constitutional Doctrine in
Parliament -- 3 Elements of Constitutional Argumentation in Legislative
Debates -- 3.1 Interpretative Standards -- 3.2 Argumentative
Structures: Proportionality and Balancing -- 3.3 Fundamental Rights as
Guide and Limit -- 4 On the Objection that It Is the Votes that Decide,
Not the Arguments -- 5 Conclusion -- References -- Glossary.
