

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910798259303321
Autore	Vile John R
Titolo	Conventional Wisdom : The Alternate Article V Mechanism for Proposing Amendments to the U.S. Constitution / / John R. Vile
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Athens, Georgia : , : The University of Georgia Press, , [2016] Baltimore, Md. : , : Project MUSE, , 2016 ©[2016]
ISBN	0-8203-4899-6
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (285 p.)
Disciplina	342.7303/2
Soggetti	Constitutional amendments - United States Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (pages 243-259) and index.
Nota di contenuto	The Constitutional Convention of 1787 and its origins -- The establishment of the amending provisions in Article V and the ratification provisions in Article VII -- The history of Constitutional Amendments in the United States -- The late Eighteenth century and Nineteenth century provide a rival set of Convention precedents -- A survey of early commentary on Article V -- The modern debate over limiting conventions -- Using criteria and ideal types to think about the big picture -- The selection and likely characteristics of delegates -- Organizational and logistical issues related to Article V Conventions -- Different kinds of Conventions.
Sommario/riassunto	"Article V of the Constitution allows two-thirds majorities of both houses of Congress to propose amendments to the document and a three-fourths majority of the states to ratify them. Scholars and frustrated advocates of constitutional change have often criticized this process for being too difficult. Despite this, state legislatures have yet to use the other primary method that Article V outlines for proposing amendments: it permits two-thirds of the state legislatures to petition Congress to call a convention to propose amendments that, like those proposed by Congress, must be ratified by three-fourths of the states. In this book, John R. Vile surveys more than two centuries of

scholarship on Article V and concludes that the weight of the evidence (including a much-overlooked Federalist essay) indicates that states and Congress have the legal right to limit the scope of such conventions to a single subject and that political considerations would make a runaway convention unlikely. Charting a prudent course between those who fail to differentiate revolutionary change from constitutional change, those who fear ever using the Article V convention mechanism that the Framers clearly envisioned, and those who would vest total control of the convention in Congress, the states, or the convention itself, Vile's work will enhance modern debates on the subject." -- Back cover.

---