| Record Nr.              | UNINA9910796477903321  |
|-------------------------|--|
| Autore                  | Sagos Nick C.  |
| Titolo                  | Democracy, emergency, and arbitrary coercion : a liberal Republican view / / by Nick C. Sagos  |
| Pubbl/distr/stampa      | Leiden, Netherlands : , : Brill, , 2015<br>©2015   |
| ISBN                    | 90-04-28257-2  |
| Descrizione fisica      | 1 online resource (235 p.)   |
| Collana                 | Studies in Moral Philosophy, , 2211-2014 ; ; Volume 7  |
| Disciplina              | 363.34/56  |
| Soggetti                | Crisis management in government  |
|                         | Emergency management - Government policy   |
|                         | Democracy - Philosophy   |
|                         | Democracy - Moral and ethical aspects  |
|                         | Liberalism - Philosophy  |
| Lingua di pubblicazione | Inglese  |
| Formato                 | Materiale a stampa   |
| Livello bibliografico   | Monografia   |
| Note generali           | Description based upon print version of record.  |
| Nota di bibliografia    | Includes bibliographical references and index.   |
| Nota di contenuto       | Preliminary Material / Nick C. Sagos Introduction: Two Philosophical<br>Ideals of Liberal Democracy / Nick C. Sagos Constitutional<br>Democracy and the Issue of Emergency / Nick C. Sagos Law and the<br>Concept of Emergency / Nick C. Sagos Formal and Informal<br>Emergency / Nick C. Sagos Catastrophe and Emergency / Nick C.<br>Sagos Institutions, Rights, and Emergencies / Nick C. Sagos<br>Appendix: Notes on Methodology / Nick C. Sagos Bibliography /<br>Nick C. Sagos Index / Nick C. Sagos.  |
| Sommario/riassunto      | States of emergency are declared by governments with alarming<br>frequency. When they are declared, it is taken for granted that their<br>nature is understood. This book argues against this established view.<br>Instead, the view advanced here analyzes what makes emergencies<br>different from other types of similar events. Defending a hybrid<br>liberal/republican approach, the book proposes that states of<br>emergency are in fact poorly understood and therefore needlessly<br>mismanaged when they occur. This mismanagement leads to a<br>troubling derogation of established liberal democratic rights in the<br>name of an unattainable form of hollow security. Further, the book |

1.

| argues that the existing rights of citizens ought to be defended (and |   |
|---|---|
| not simply derogated) during states of emergency. Failure to do so is |   |
| failure to comply with the formal values of liberal democracy itself. | _ |