Record Nr. Autore Titolo	UNINA9910793421003321 Sommier Isabelle Breaking laws : violence and civil disobedience in protest / / Isabelle Sommier, Graeme Hayes, and Sylvie Ollitrault [[electronic resource]]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Amsterdam : , : Amsterdam University Press, , 2019
ISBN	90-485-2827-5
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (271 pages) : digital, PDF file(s)
Collana	Protest and social movements.
Altri autori (Persone)	SommierIsabelle HayesGraeme <1967->
Disciplina	322.4/2
Soggetti	Political violence Civil disobedience Terrorism
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Translations of: La violence revolutionnaire / Isabelle Sommier, 2008 ; and, La desobeissance civile. 2e edition, 2013 / Graeme Hayes & Sylvie Ollitrault. Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 20 Nov 2020).
Nota di contenuto Sommario/riassunto	Front matter Table of Contents Acknowledgements List of Abbreviations, Organizations, and Parties Introduction to Breaking Laws Part 1. Revolutionary Violence Experiences of Armed Struggle in France, Germany, Japan, Italy, and the United States Part 2. Civil Disobedience Biographical Notes Bibliography Index Breaking Laws: Violence and Civil Disobedience in Protest questions the complex relationship between social movements and violence through two contrasted lenses; first through the short-lived radical left wing post '68 revolutionary violence, and secondly in the present diffusion of civil disobedience actions, often at the border between non-violence and violence. This book shows how and why violence occurs or does not, and what different meanings it can take. The short-lived extreme left revolutionary groups that grew out of May '68 and the opposition to the Vietnam War (such as the German Red Army Faction, the Italian Red Brigades, and the Japanese Red Army) are without any doubt on the
	violent side. More ambiguous are the burgeoning contemporary forms of "civil" disobedience, breaking the law with the aim of changing it. In

1.

theory, these efforts are associated with non-violence and self-	
restraint. In practice, the line is more difficult to trace, as much	
depends on how political players define and frame non-violence and	
political legitimacy.	