

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910792893003321
Autore	Seabrook Nicholas R.
Titolo	Drawing the lines : constraints on partisan gerrymandering in U.S. politics // Nicholas R. Seabrook
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Ithaca, New York ; ; London, [England] : , : Cornell University Press, , 2017 ©2017
ISBN	1-5017-0778-7
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (150 pages)
Collana	Canadian theses = Theses canadiennes.
Disciplina	328.7323073455
Soggetti	Gerrymandering - United States Apportionment (Election law) - United States
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Previously issued in print: 2016.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Frontmatter -- Contents -- Introduction: Perceptions and Misperceptions of Partisan Redistricting -- 1. A Theory of Constrained Redistricting -- 2. The Unrealized Precedent of Davis v. Bandemer -- 3. Second-Order Challenges and the Rise of Mid-Decade Redistricting -- 4. Winning the Future? Redistricting and Partisan Bias -- 5. Redistricting, Electoral Responsiveness, and Democracy -- Conclusion: Implications for Redistricting Reform -- References -- Court Cases -- Index
Sommario/riassunto	Radical redistricting plans, such as that pushed through by Texas governor Rick Perry in 2003, are frequently used for partisan purposes. Perry's plan sent twenty-one Republicans (and only eleven Democrats) to Congress in the 2004 elections. Such heavy-handed tactics strike many as contrary to basic democratic principles. In Drawing the Lines, Nicholas R. Seabrook uses a combination of political science methods and legal studies insights to investigate the effects of redistricting on U.S. House elections. He concludes that partisan gerrymandering poses far less of a threat to democratic accountability than conventional wisdom would suggest. Building on a large data set of the demographics of redrawn districts and subsequent congressional elections, Seabrook looks less at the who and how of gerrymandering and considers more closely the practical effects of partisan redistricting

plans. He finds that the redrawing of districts often results in no detrimental effect for district-level competition. Short-term benefits in terms of capturing seats are sometimes achieved but long-term results are uncertain. By focusing on the end results rather than on the motivations of political actors, Seabrook seeks to recast the political debate about the importance of partisanship. He supports institutionalizing metrics for competitiveness that would prove more threatening to all incumbents no matter their party affiliation.

---