Record Nr. UNINA9910792879103321 Autore Pourciau Sarah M. Titolo The Writing of Spirit: Soul, System, and the Roots of Language Science // Sarah M. Pourciau Pubbl/distr/stampa New York, NY:,: Fordham University Press,, [2017] ©2017 **ISBN** 0-8232-7566-3 0-8232-7717-8 0-8232-7565-5 Edizione [First edition.] Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (384 pages) Collana The modern language initiative Disciplina 901 Soggetti Language and languages Linguistics Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Issued as part of book collections on Project MUSE. Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references (pages [339]-362) and index Nota di contenuto Front matter -- Contents -- List of Abbreviations -- Introduction -- 1. Language Ensouled -- 2. Saussure's Dream -- 3. Verse Origins -- 4. Wagner's Poetry of the Spheres -- 5. Pythagoras in the Laboratory -- 6. Jakobson's Zeros -- Afterword -- Acknowledgments -- Notes --Bibliography -- Index Sommario/riassunto Contemporary thought has been profoundly shaped by the earlytwentieth-century turn toward synchronic models of explanation, which analyze phenomena as they appear at a single moment, rather than diachronically as they develop through time. But the relationship between time and system remains unexplained by the standard account of this shift. Through a new history of systematic thinking across the humanities and sciences. The Writing of Spirit argues that nineteenthcentury historicism wasn't simply replaced by a more modern synchronic perspective. The structuralist revolution consisted rather in a turn toward time's absolutely minimal conditions, and thus also toward a new theory of diachrony. Pourciau arrives at this surprising and powerful conclusion through an analysis of language-scientific

theories over the course of two centuries, associated with thinkers from Jacob Grimm and Richard Wagner to the Russian Futurists, in domains

as disparate as historical linguistics, phonology, acoustics, opera theory, philosophy, poetics, and psychology. The result is a novel contribution to a pressing contemporary question—namely, what role history should play in the interpretation of the present.