

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910792151403321
Autore	Woll Cornelia
Titolo	The power of inaction : bank bailouts in comparison // Cornelia Woll
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Ithaca, New York : , : Cornell University Press, , 2014 ©2014
ISBN	0-8014-7114-1 0-8014-7115-X
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (225 p.)
Collana	Cornell Studies in Political Economy
Disciplina	332.1
Soggetti	Bank failures - Government policy Bailouts (Government policy)
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Frontmatter -- Contents -- List of Figures and Tables -- List of Abbreviations -- 1. Bailout Games -- 2. Crisis Management across the World -- 3. The Power of Collective Inaction -- 4. From Theory to Practice -- 5. The United States and the United Kingdom -- 6. France and Germany -- 7. Ireland and Denmark -- 8. Lessons Learned -- Acknowledgments -- Appendix: List of Interviews -- Bibliography -- Index
Sommario/riassunto	Bank bailouts in the aftermath of the collapse of Lehman Brothers and the onset of the Great Recession brought into sharp relief the power that the global financial sector holds over national politics, and provoked widespread public outrage. In <i>The Power of Inaction</i> , Cornelia Woll details the varying relationships between financial institutions and national governments by comparing national bank rescue schemes in the United States and Europe. Woll starts with a broad overview of bank bailouts in more than twenty countries. Using extensive interviews conducted with bankers, lawmakers, and other key players, she then examines three pairs of countries where similar outcomes might be expected: the United States and United Kingdom, France and Germany, Ireland and Denmark. She finds, however, substantial variation within these pairs. In some cases the financial sector is intimately involved in the design of bailout packages; elsewhere it chooses to remain at arm's

length. Such differences are often ascribed to one of two conditions: either the state is strong and can impose terms, or the state is weak and corrupted by industry lobbying. Woll presents a third option, where the inaction of the financial sector critically shapes the design of bailout packages in favor of the industry. She demonstrates that financial institutions were most powerful in those settings where they could avoid a joint response and force national policymakers to deal with banks on a piecemeal basis. The power to remain collectively inactive, she argues, has had important consequences for bailout arrangements and ultimately affected how the public and private sectors have shared the cost burden of these massive policy decisions.

---