

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910791943103321
Autore	Saulnier Stephane
Titolo	Calendrical variations in Second Temple Judaism : new perspectives on the "Date of the Last Supper" debate // Stephane Saulnier
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Leiden, : Brill, 2012
ISBN	1-280-49595-2 9786613591180 90-04-22632-X
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (296 pages)
Collana	Supplements to the Journal for the study of Judaism ; ; v. 159
Disciplina	529.326
Soggetti	Fasts and feasts - Judaism Jewish calendar Judaism - History - Post-exilic period, 586 B.C.-210 A.D Lord's Supper
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. [251]-268) and indexes.
Nota di contenuto	Preliminary Material -- Introduction -- I. The Date of the Last Supper: Annie Jaubert's Theory Revisited -- II. The Cycle of Festivals and the Seasons in the Hebrew Bible -- III. The Cycle of Festivals and the Seasons in the Book of Jubilees -- IV. The Cycle of Festivals in the Dead Sea Scrolls -- V. The Cycle of Festivals in Other Relevant Jewish Sources -- VI. Calendrical Issues in the Book of Luminaries (1 Enoch 72-82) -- VII. The Calendrical Documents from Qumran -- VIII. Conclusions -- Appendix: The 364-Day Year, the Lunar Cycle, and the Triennial Cycle -- Bibliography -- Index of Subjects -- Index of Modern Authors -- Index of Scriptures and Other Ancient Writings.
Sommario/riassunto	Starting from the seminal work of the French scholar Annie Jaubert on the date of the Last Supper, the present work revisits known - and identifies new - calendrical issues in the literature of Second Temple Judaism. The research supports the conclusion that all known calendrical traditions functioned on the tenet that orthopraxis in ancient Judaism meant close interconnection between cultic and agricultural cycles. From this perspective the book removes the calendrical objection leveled at the Jaubertian theory. Further, the

research brings new light on current debates about Qumran calendrical documents and proposes the identification of a previously unknown calendrical polemic in the Astronomical Book of Enoch concerning the synchronization of the 364DY tradition with the lunar cycle.
