Record Nr. UNINA9910791443303321 Autore Davis Whitney Titolo Queer beauty: sexuality and aesthetics from Winckelmann to Freud and beyond / / Whitney Davis Pubbl/distr/stampa New York: .: Columbia University Press, . 2010 ©2010 **ISBN** 1-280-59930-8 9786613629142 0-231-51955-9 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (x, 354 pages): illustrations Collana Columbia themes in philosophy, social criticism, and the arts Classificazione 71.25 Disciplina 111/.85 Soggetti **Aesthetics** Sex Homosexuality Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto Frontmatter -- Contents -- Preface -- Introduction: Sexuality and Aesthetics from Winckelmann to Freud and Beyond -- Queer Beauty --The Universal Phallus -- Representative Representation -- Double Mind -- The Line of Death -- The Sense of Beauty -- The Aesthetogenesis of Sex -- Love All the Same -- The Unbecoming -- Fantasmatic Iconicity -- Notes -- Index The pioneering work of Johann Winckelmann (1717-1768) identified a Sommario/riassunto homoerotic appreciation of male beauty in classical Greek sculpture, a fascination that had endured in Western art since the Greeks. Yet after Winckelmann, the value (even the possibility) of art's queer beauty was often denied. Several theorists, notably the philosopher Immanuel Kant, broke sexual attraction and aesthetic appreciation into separate or dueling domains. In turn, sexual desire and aesthetic pleasure had to be profoundly rethought by later writers. Whitney Davis follows how such innovative thinkers as John Addington Symonds, Michel Foucault, and Richard Wollheim rejoined these two domains, reclaiming earlier

insights about the mutual implication of sexuality and aesthetics.

Addressing texts by Arthur Schopenhauer, Charles Darwin, Oscar Wilde,

Vernon Lee, and Sigmund Freud, among many others, Davis criticizes modern approaches, such as Kantian idealism, Darwinism, psychoanalysis, and analytic aesthetics, for either reducing aesthetics to a question of sexuality or for removing sexuality from the aesthetic field altogether. Despite these schematic reductions, sexuality always returns to aesthetics, and aesthetic considerations always recur in sexuality. Davis particularly emphasizes the way in which philosophies of art since the late eighteenth century have responded to nonstandard sexuality, especially homoeroticism, and how theories of nonstandard sexuality have drawn on aesthetics in significant ways. Many imaginative and penetrating critics have wrestled productively, though often inconclusively and "against themselves," with the aesthetic making of sexual life and new forms of art made from reconstituted sexualities. Through a critique that confronts history, philosophy, science, psychology, and dominant theories of art and sexuality, Davis challenges privileged types of sexual and aesthetic creation imagined in modern culture-and assumed today.