

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910790832603321
Autore	Reed Lisa A
Titolo	Strengthening the PRO hypothesis / / Lisa A. Reed
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Boston : , : De Gruyter Mouton, , [2014] ©2014
ISBN	1-61451-041-5
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (396 p.)
Collana	Studies in generative grammar, , 0167-4331 ; ; volume 110
Disciplina	415
Soggetti	Control (Linguistics) Grammar, Comparative and general - Infinitival constructions Grammar, Comparative and general
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Frontmatter -- Acknowledgments -- Contents -- Chapter 1. Overview -- Chapter 2. On the historical development of PRO approaches to Control -- Chapter 3. Movement and implicit argument approaches to Control -- Chapter 4. A critical look at some standard arguments in favor of PRO -- Chapter 5. Remotivating a PRO approach to Control -- Chapter 6. The syntax of Control -- Chapter 7. On the reference of PRO -- Chapter 8. On an unexpected gap in the distribution of PRO -- Chapter 9. Conclusions -- Bibliography -- Index
Sommario/riassunto	The syntax of Control structures remains a topic of heated debate: Standard generative treatments continue to analyze them in terms of PRO, a hypothesis challenged in alternative syntactic frameworks, semantic circles, and even within the generative tradition itself. This book: (a) examines empirical paradigms currently assumed to favor a PRO approach over competing theories, demonstrating that alternative approaches offer equally plausible treatments of these facts; (b) develops five novel arguments amenable to analysis only within a PRO approach; (c) puts forth a radically revised PRO approach to Control according to which PRO continues to be analyzed as a non-expletive nominal, but one lacking phi- and Case features in the computational component. Contra standard theory, PRO is argued to never undergo movement to a position even as high as the first NegP that dominates

its initial merge position. Furthermore, Control complements are shown to take the form of such diverse categories as CP, IP, vP and VP; and (d) considers how a syntactically phi-featureless noun comes to be understood to bear phi-features, as well as how tense limits PRO's distribution in a here-to-fore unnoticed fashion.
