

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910790345303321
Autore	Lewis Alfred
Titolo	Why nobody believes the numbers [[electronic resource]] : distinguishing fact from fiction in population health management // Alfred Lewis
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Hoboken, N.J., : Wiley, c2012
ISBN	1-118-33206-7 1-280-76812-6 9786613678898 1-118-33420-5
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (242 p.)
Classificazione	BUS030000
Disciplina	362.1/042584 362.1042584 362.1068
Soggetti	Health maintenance organizations - Evaluation - United States Managed care plans (Medical care) - Standards - United States
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.
Nota di contenuto	Machine generated contents note: Introduction Chapter One: Actuaries Behaving Badly Chapter Two: Plausibility-testing: How to Measure Outcomes Using Ingredients Chapter Three: Case Studies that Flunk Every Plausibility Test Known to Mankind Chapter Four: Case Studies that Flunk Every Plausibility Test Known to Mankind and Then Some Chapter Five: Case Studies of Where, When, and How Wellness Programs Have Actually Worked Chapter Six: Yes, Virginia, There Is a Savings Clause Chapter Seven: Disease Management Programs That Actually Work (Pinch Me)Chapter Eight: Contracting/RFP Checklist of Do's and Don'ts (Mostly Don'ts)Appendix: The Keys to the Numerical Kingdom Author's Note on Sources Endnotes Glossary About the Author.
Sommario/riassunto	Why Nobody Believes the Numbers introduces a unique viewpoint to population health outcomes measurement: Results/ROIs should be presented as they are, not as we wish they would be. This viewpoint contrasts sharply with vendor/promoter/consultant claims along two

very important dimensions: (1) Why Nobody Believes presents outcomes/ROIs achievable right here on this very planet... (2) ... calculated using actual data rather than controlled substances. Indeed, nowhere in healthcare is it possible to find such sharply contrasting worldviews, methodology
