

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910790286603321
Autore	Witte Pieter de
Titolo	Doctrine, dynamic and difference / Pieter de Witte
Pubbl/distr/stampa	London ; New York, : T&T Clark, 2012
ISBN	0-567-66073-7 1-280-57941-2 9786613609182 0-567-48497-1
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (273 p.)
Collana	Ecclesiological investigations ; v. 15
Disciplina	234.7
Soggetti	Justification (Christian theology)
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (pages 241-250) and index
Nota di contenuto	1. Introduction -- 2. The Joint Declaration on Justification: Basis Issues -- 3. Reception of Theological Advances -- 4. The Reception of Previous Dialogue Results -- 5. The Joint Declaration: A Textual Analysis -- 6. Conclusion
Sommario/riassunto	"One of the most divisive issues in Western Christianity since the Reformation is the question of how humans are justified by God. In 1999, after many decades of ecumenical dialogue, Lutherans and Roman Catholics have declared that this issue of 'justification by faith' is no longer a cause of division between them. One of the fascinating features of this Joint Declaration on the Doctrine of Justification (JDDJ) is that it expresses a 'differentiated consensus' on justification. The method of differentiated consensus is generally regarded as an important methodological step forward in the ecumenical dialogue. It has been used and referred to in ecumenical documents published after 1999. But what are its meaning and implications? This study attempts to clarify the method of differentiated consensus by (1) investigating the process of doctrinal rapprochement which led up to the JDDJ, (2) examining the way the consensus takes shape in the document itself, (3) analyzing arguments offered by critics and advocates of the official dialogue and (4) reflecting on the concept of doctrinal difference."-- Bloomsbury Publishing

One of the most divisive issues in Western Christianity since the Reformation is the question of how humans are justified by God. In 1999, after many decades of ecumenical dialogue, Lutherans and Roman Catholics have declared that this issue of 'justification by faith' is no longer a cause of division between them. One of the fascinating features of this Joint Declaration on the Doctrine of Justification (JDDJ) is that it expresses a 'differentiated consensus' on justification. The method of differentiated consensus is generally regarded as an important methodological step forward in the ecumenical dialogue. It has been used and referred to in ecumenical documents published after 1999. But what are its meaning and implications? This study attempts to clarify the method of differentiated consensus by (1) investigating the process of doctrinal rapprochement which led up to the JDDJ, (2) examining the way the consensus takes shape in the document itself, (3) analyzing arguments offered by critics and advocates of the official dialogue and (4) reflecting on the concept of doctrinal difference
