Record Nr. UNINA9910789417503321 Autore Kim Jaegwon Titolo Physicalism, or something near enough [[electronic resource] /] / Jaegwon Kim Pubbl/distr/stampa Princeton, N.J., : Princeton University Press, c2005 **ISBN** 1-283-13346-6 9786613133465 1-4008-4084-8 Edizione [Course Book] Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (201 p.) Princeton monographs in philosophy Collana Disciplina 128/.2 Soggetti Philosophy of mind Mind and body Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali "Third printing, and first paperback printing, 2008." Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto Front matter -- Contents -- Preface -- Synopsis of the Arguments --CHAPTER 1. Mental Causation and Consciousness: Our Two Mind-Body Problems -- CHAPTER 2. The Supervenience Argument Motivated, Clarified, and Defended -- CHAPTER 4. Reduction, Reductive Explanation, and Closing the "Gap" -- CHAPTER 5. Explanatory Arguments for Type Physicalism and Why They Don't Work -- CHAPTER 6. Physicalism, or Something Near Enough -- References -- Index Contemporary discussions in philosophy of mind have largely been Sommario/riassunto shaped by physicalism, the doctrine that all phenomena are ultimately physical. Here, Jaegwon Kim presents the most comprehensive and systematic presentation yet of his influential ideas on the mind-body problem. He seeks to determine, after half a century of debate: What kind of (or "how much") physicalism can we lay claim to? He begins by laying out mental causation and consciousness as the two principal challenges to contemporary physicalism. How can minds exercise their causal powers in a physical world? Is a physicalist account of consciousness possible? The book's starting point is the "supervenience" argument (sometimes called the "exclusion" argument), which Kim reformulates in an extended defense. This argument shows

that the contemporary physicalist faces a stark choice between

reductionism (the idea that mental phenomena are physically reducible) and epiphenomenalism (the view that mental phenomena are causally impotent). Along the way, Kim presents a novel argument showing that Cartesian substance dualism offers no help with mental causation. Mind-body reduction, therefore, is required to save mental causation. But are minds physically reducible? Kim argues that all but one type of mental phenomena are reducible, including intentional mental phenomena, such as beliefs and desires. The apparent exceptions are the intrinsic, felt qualities of conscious experiences ("qualia"). Kim argues, however, that certain relational properties of qualia, in particular their similarities and differences, are behaviorally manifest and hence in principle reducible, and that it is these relational properties of qualia that are central to their cognitive roles. The causal efficacy of qualia, therefore, is not entirely lost. According to Kim, then, while physicalism is not the whole truth, it is the truth near enough.