

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910789084803321
Titolo	The right to believe [[electronic resource]] : perspectives in religious epistemology / / Dariusz ukasiewicz & Roger Pouivet (eds.)
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Frankfurt, : Ontos Verlag, 2012
ISBN	3-11-032016-9
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (244 p.)
Altri autori (Persone)	ukasiewiczDariusz PouivetRoger
Soggetti	Belief and doubt
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Front matter -- Contents -- Introduction -- Russell's China Teapot / Inwagen, Peter van -- Religious Belief and Evidence from Testimony / Greco, John -- Steps Towards an Epistemology of Revelation / Pouivet, Roger -- Faith As an Epistemic Good According to Aristotle: / Bastit, Michel -- Aquinas and the Will to Believe / Michon, Cyrille -- To Be in Truth or not to Be Mistaken? / Gutowski, Piotr -- Do We Have the Epistemic Right to Believe in Jesus? / Wojtysiak, Jacek -- Religious Beliefs in the Face of Rationalism / egle, Urszula M. -- Believing the Self-Contradictory / Schang, Fabien -- Logic, Right to Unbelief and Freedom / Woleski, Jan -- Scepticism and Religious Belief / Ziemiska, Renata -- Are We Morally Obligated to Be Atheists? / ukasiewicz, Dariusz -- Can There Be Supernaturalism without Theism? / De Anna, Gabriele -- On the Interrelation between Forgiveness, Rationality and Faith / Heinzmann, Gerhard -- Transfiguration of Human Consciousness and Eternal Life / Judycki, Stanisaw -- Notes on the Authors -- Index of Names
Sommario/riassunto	In the twentieth century, many contemporary epistemologists in the analytic tradition have entered into debate regarding the right to belief with new tools: Richard Swinburne, Anthony Kenny, Alvin Plantinga, Nicholas Wolterstorff, Peter van Inwagen (who contributes a piece in this volume) defending or contesting the requirement of evidence for any justified belief. The best things we can do, it seems, is to examine more attentively the true notion of "right to believe", especially about

religious matters. This is exactly what authors of the papers in this book do.
