Record Nr. UNINA9910788415103321 **Autore** Sy Amadou **Titolo** Distance-to-Default in Banking:: A Bridge Too Far? // Amadou Sy, Jorge Chan-Lau Pubbl/distr/stampa Washington, D.C.:,: International Monetary Fund,, 2006 1-4623-5181-6 **ISBN** 1-4527-7738-1 1-283-51164-9 1-4519-0928-4 9786613824097 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (19 p.) Collana **IMF** Working Papers Altri autori (Persone) Chan-LauJorge Soggetti Bank capital - Econometric models Bank failures - Econometric models Default (Finance) - Econometric models Risk - Econometric models Banks and Banking Financial Risk Management **Public Finance Banks Depository Institutions** Micro Finance Institutions Mortgages Financial Institutions and Services: Government Policy and Regulation **Trade Policy International Trade Organizations** International Financial Markets Banking Financial services law & regulation Public finance & taxation Economic & financial crises & disasters Finance Capital adequacy requirements Post-clearance customs audit Deposit insurance Asset valuation

> Banks and banking Asset requirements

Customs administration Crisis management Asset-liability management **United States** Inglese

Lingua di pubblicazione

Formato

Materiale a stampa

Livello bibliografico

Monografia

Note generali

"September 2006."

Nota di bibliografia

Includes bibliographical references.

Nota di contenuto

""Contents""; ""I. INTRODUCTION""; ""II. WHAT HAPPENS BEFORE A BANK DEFAULT?""; ""III. A UNIFIED FRAMEWORK FOR DISTANCE MEASURES: DISTANCE-TO-CAPITAL""; ""IV. CASE STUDY: THE RESONA AND ASHIKAGA BANKS""; ""V. CONCLUSIONS""; ""REFERENCES""

Sommario/riassunto

In contrast to corporate defaults, regulators typically take a number of statutory actions to avoid the large fiscal costs associated with bank defaults. The distance-to-default, a widely used market-based measure of corporate default risk, ignores such regulatory actions. To overcome this limitation, this paper introduces the concept of distanceto-capital that accounts for pre-default regulatory actions such as those in a prompt-corrective-actions framework. We show that both risk measures can be analyzed using the same theoretical framework but differ depending on the level of capital adequacy thresholds and asset volatility. We also use the framework to illustrate pre-default regulatory actions in Japan in 2001-03.