1. Record Nr. UNINA9910788410303321 Autore Varsano Ricardo **Titolo** The "Flat Tax(es)":: Principles and Evidence // Ricardo Varsano, Kevin Kim, Michael Keen Pubbl/distr/stampa Washington, D.C.:,: International Monetary Fund,, 2006 **ISBN** 1-4623-2961-6 1-4527-7132-4 1-283-51335-8 9786613825803 1-4519-0931-4 48 p.: ill Descrizione fisica Collana **IMF** Working Papers Altri autori (Persone) KimKevin KeenMichael Soggetti Flat-rate income tax - Econometric models Flat-rate income tax - Former Soviet republics - Econometric models Macroeconomics Personal Finance -Taxation **Taxation** Corporate Taxation Taxation, Subsidies, and Revenue: General Fiscal Policies and Behavior of Economic Agents: General Personal Income, Wealth, and Their Distributions Personal Income and Other Nonbusiness Taxes and Subsidies **Business Taxes and Subsidies** 

Business Taxes and Subsidies
Public finance & taxation
Corporate & business tax

Flat tax

Personal income

Marginal effective tax rate Personal income tax Corporate income tax

Taxes

National accounts

Tax policy Income tax Income

Tax administration and procedure

Corporations

	Slovak Republic
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	"September 2006."
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.
Sommario/riassunto	One of the most striking tax developments in recent years, and one that continues to attract considerable attention, is the adoption by

several countries of a form of "flat tax." Discussion of these quite radical reforms has been marked, however, more by assertion and rhetoric than by analysis and evidence. This paper reviews experience with the flat tax, seeking to redress the balance. It stresses that the flat taxes that have been adopted differ fundamentally, and that empirical evidence on their effects is very limited. This precludes simple generalization, but several lessons emerge: there is no sign of Laffertype behavioral responses generating revenue increases from the tax cut elements of these reforms; their impact on compliance is theoretically ambiguous, but there is evidence for Russia that compliance did improve; the distributional effects of the flat taxes are not unambiguously regressive, and in some cases they may have increased progressivity, including through the impact on compliance; adoption of the flat tax has not resolved common challenges in taxing capital income; and it may have strengthened, not weakened, the automatic stabilizers. Looking forward, the question is not so much whether more countries will adopt a flat tax as whether those that have will move away from it.