Record Nr. UNINA9910788332503321 Autore Loukoianova Elena **Titolo** Banking Crises and Crisis Dating:: Theory and Evidence / / Elena Loukoianova, Gianni De Nicolo, John Boyd Washington, D.C.:,: International Monetary Fund,, 2009 Pubbl/distr/stampa 1-4623-9007-2 **ISBN** 1-4527-2282-X 9786612843556 1-4518-7288-7 1-282-84355-9 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (52 p.) Collana **IMF** Working Papers Altri autori (Persone) De NicoloGianni BoydJohn

Soggetti Bank failures - Econometric models

Banks and banking - Econometric models

Economic indicators Banks and Banking

Financial Risk Management

Macroeconomics

Money and Monetary Policy

Banks

Depository Institutions Micro Finance Institutions

Mortgages

Financial Institutions and Services: Government Policy and Regulation Monetary Policy, Central Banking, and the Supply of Money and Credit:

General

Financial Crises Foreign Exchange

Banking

Economic & financial crises & disasters

Monetary economics Deposit insurance

Bank credit Banking crises Currency crises Banks and banking Crisis management Credit Financial crises United States

Lingua di pubblicazione

Inglese

Formato

Materiale a stampa

Livello bibliografico

Monografia

Note generali

"July 2009."

Nota di contenuto

Contents; I. Introduction and Summary; II. Major Classifications of Banking Crises; III. BC Indicators and Their Discrepancies; IV. A Simple Banking Model; V. Evidence from Cross-Country Data: Benchmark Specifications; A. Logit Regressions with BC Indicators as Dependent Variables; B. SBS indicators Predict BC indicators; C. Logit Regressions with SBS Indicators as Dependent Variables; VI. Market Structure and Deposit Insurance; A. Bank Market Structure and Competition; B. Deposit Insurance; VII. Currency and "Twin" Crises; A. BC and SBS Indicators as Dependent Variables

B. Currency Crises as Dependent VariablesVIII. Evidence from Bank-Level Data; A. Measures of Systemic Bank Shocks; B. SBS indicators Predict BC indicators; C. Market Structure, Deposit Insurance and External Shocks; VI. Conclusion; References; Tables; 1. BC Indicators; 2. Logit Regressions with Start Date BC Indicators (crisis dates after the first crisis year excluded); 3. Logit Regressions with BC Indicators (all observations with crisis dating); 4. Logit Regressions: Do SBS Lending Indicators Predict BC Indicators?; 5. Logit Regressions: Do SBS Deposit Indicators Predict BC Indicators?

6. Logit Regressions with SBS Indicators ad Dependent Variables7. Logit Regressions: BC Indicators and Bank Concentration Measures; 8. Logit Regressions: SBS Indicators and Bank Concentration Measures; 9. Logit Regressions: BC Indicators, SBS Indicators and Deposit Insurance; 10. Logit Regressions: BC Indicators, SBS Indicators, Deposit Insurance Features and Quality of Institutions; 11. Logit Regressions: BC Indicators, Currency and Twin Crises; 12. Logit Regressions: SBS Indicators, Currency and Twin Crises; 13. Logit Regressions: Currency Crises and SBS Indicators

14. Bank Level Data, Random Effect Logit Regressions: SBS Indicators Predict BC Indicators15. Bank Level Data, Random Effect Logit Regressions: Determinants of SBS and BC Indicators; A1. ""Systemic"" Banking Crises and Crisis Dating in Different Classifications

Sommario/riassunto

Many empirical studies of banking crises have employed "banking crisis" (BC) indicators constructedusing primarily information on government actions undertaken in response to bank distress. Weformulate a simple theoretical model of a banking industry which we use to identify and constructtheory-based measures of systemic bank shocks (SBS). Using both country-level and firm-level samples, we show that SBS indicators consistently predict BC indicators based on four major BCseries that have appeared in the literature. Therefore, BC indicatorsactually measure lagged government responses to systemic bank shocks, rather than the occurrence of crises per se. We reexamine the separate impact of macroeconomic factors, bank market structure, deposit insurance, andexternal shocks on the probability of a systemic bank shocks and on the probability of governmentresponses

to bank distress. The impact of these variables on the likelihood of a government responseto bank distress is totally different from that on the likelihood of a systemic bank shock. Disentangling the effects of systemic bank shocks and government responses turns out to be crucial inunderstanding the roots of bank fragility. Many findings of a large empirical literature need to be re-assessed and/or re-interpreted.