

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910788088303321
Titolo	Alternative perspectives on psychiatric validation : DSM, IDC, RDoC, and Beyond / / edited by Peter Zachar [and three others] ; contributors, Massimiliano Aragona [and twenty-three others]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	New York, New York : , : Oxford University Press, , 2015 ©2015
ISBN	0-19-150204-9 0-19-176069-2 0-19-150203-0
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (287 p.)
Collana	International Perspectives in Philosophy and Psychiatry
Disciplina	618.928914
Soggetti	Child psychotherapy
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references at the end of each chapters and index.
Nota di contenuto	Cover; Alternative Perspectives on Psychiatric ValidationDSM, ICD, RDoC, and Beyond; Copyright; Contents; List of Figures and Tables; List of Contributors; Part 1 Prologue; 1 Introduction: The concept of validation in psychiatry and psychology; Part 2 Matters more philosophical; 2 Rethinking received views on the history of psychiatric nosology: Minor shifts, major continuities; 3 Reality and utility unbound: An argument for dual-track nosologic validation; 4 Validity, realism, and normativity; 5 Natural and para-natural kinds in psychiatry 6 The background assumptions of measurement practices in psychological assessment and psychiatric diagnosis 7 Neuroimaging in psychiatry: Epistemological considerations; 8 Translational validity across neuroscience and psychiatry; 9 Psychiatry, objectivity, and realism about value; 10 Scientific validity in psychiatry: Necessarily a moving target? ; Part 3 Matters (slightly) more clinical; 11 The importance of structural validity; 12 Validation of psychiatric classifications: The psychobiological model of personality as an exemplar 13 Person-centered integrative diagnosis: Bases, models, and guides14 The four domains of mental illness (FDMI): An alternative to the DSM-5;

Sommario/riassunto

Many of the current debates about validity in psychiatry and psychology are predicated on the unexpected failure to validate commonly used diagnostic categories. The recognition of this failure has resulted in, what Thomas Kuhn calls, a period of extraordinary science in which validation problems are given increased weight, alternatives are proposed, methodologies are debated, and philosophical and historical analyses are seen as more relevant than usual. In this important new book in the IPPP series, a group of leading thinkers in psychiatry, psychology, and philosophy offer alternative persp
