

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910787526703321
Autore	Cottom Daniel
Titolo	Unhuman culture // Daniel Cottom
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Philadelphia : , : University of Pennsylvania Press, , 2006 ©2006
ISBN	0-8122-0169-8
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (xii, 195 pages) : illustrations
Disciplina	700.1/08
Soggetti	Misanthropy in art Misanthropy in literature Misanthropy
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Front matter -- Contents -- Preface -- Introduction: To Love to Hate -- Chapter One. Crowning Presumption -- Chapter Two. I Think; Therefore, I Am Heathcliff -- Chapter Three. Immemorial -- Chapter Four. The Injustice of Velázquez -- Chapter Five. The Illusion of a Future -- Chapter Six. The Akedah on Blanket Hill -- Chapter Seven. What Is It Like to Be an Artwork? -- Conclusion: The Necessity of Misanthropy -- Notes -- Index -- Acknowledgments
Sommario/riassunto	It is widely acknowledged that the unhuman plays a significant role in the definition of humanity in contemporary thought. It appears in the thematization of "the Other" in philosophical, psychoanalytic, anthropological, and postcolonial studies, and shows up in the "antihumanism" associated with figures such as Heidegger, Foucault, and Derrida. One might trace its genealogy, as Freud did, to the Copernican, Darwinian, and psychoanalytic revolutions that displaced humanity from the center of the universe. Or as Karl Marx and others suggested, one might lose human identity in the face of economic, technological, political, and ideological forces and structures. With dazzling breadth, wit, and intelligence, Unhuman Culture ranges over literature, art, and theory, ancient to postmodern, to explore the ways in which contemporary culture defines humanity in terms of all that it is not. Daniel Cottom is equally at home reading medieval saints' lives

and the fiction of Angela Carter, plumbing the implications of Napoleon's self-coronation and the attacks of 9/11, considering the paintings of Pieter Bruegel and the plastic-surgery-as-performance of the body artist Orlan. For Cottom, the unhuman does not necessarily signify the inhuman, in the sense of conspicuous or extraordinary cruelty. It embraces, too, the superhuman, the supernatural, the demonic, and the subhuman; the supposedly disjunctive animal, vegetable, and mineral kingdoms; the realms of artifice, technology, and fantasy. It plays a role in theoretical discussions of the sublime, personal memoirs of the Holocaust, aesthetic reflections on technology, economic discourses on globalization, and popular accounts of terrorism. Whereas it once may have seemed that the concept of culture always, by definition, pertained to humanity, it now may seem impossible to avoid the realization that we must look at things differently. It is not only art, in the narrow sense of the word, that we must recognize as unhuman. For better or worse, ours is now an unhuman culture.
