Record Nr. Autore Titolo	UNINA9910787213603321 Snyder Peter J. The management of scientific integrity within academic medical centers // Peter J. Snyder, Linda C. Mayes, William E. Smith
Pubbl/distr/stampa	London, England : , : Academic Press, , 2015 ©2015
ISBN	0-12-405929-5
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (225 p.)
Disciplina	174.28
Soggetti	Medicine - Research - Moral and ethical aspects Medicine - Research - Corrupt practices Academic medical centers - Corrupt practices
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references at the end of each chapters and index.
Nota di contenuto	Front Cover; The Management of Scientific Integrity within Academic Medical Centers; Copyright; DEDICATIONS; CONTENTS; FOREWORD; ABOUT THE AUTHORS; ACKNOWLEDGMENTS; Chapter 1 - Introduction: Research Misconduct in Biomedical Research Institutions; REFERENCES; Chapter 2 - Research Integrity: The Legal and Regulatory Landscape; 1. INTRODUCTION; 2. THE INSTITUTIONAL AND AGENCY PROCESS; 3. OTHER LEGAL CONSEQUENCES FOR RESEARCHERS ENGAGING IN RESEARCH MISCONDUCT; 4. PROCESS-BASED CHALLENGES; 5. OTHER TYPES OF CLAIMS ARISING OUT OF INSTITUTIONAL PROCEEDINGS; 6. LITIGATION OVER RECORDS; END NOTES Part I - CASE STUDIESA NOTE ON THE PRESENTATION OF NINE RESEARCH INTEGRITY CASE STUDIES; Chapter 3 - Question of Data Manipulation in Failure to Replicate Findings in Published Manuscript; 1. PRESENTING COMPLAINT; 2. BACKGROUND AND HISTORY; 3. RELEVANT EVIDENCE; 4. CASE MANAGEMENT AND ADJUDICATION; 5. SYNTHESIS AND FORMULATION; 6. RESOLUTION; 7. COMMENTARY; QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION; REFERENCES; Chapter 4 - Violation of Institutional and Public Health Service Policies Governing the Care and Use of Animals in Research: Cultural Barriers in the Academic Workplace; 1. PRESENTING COMPLAINT

1.

	 BACKGROUND AND HISTORY3. RELEVANT EVIDENCE; 4. CASE MANAGEMENT AND ADJUDICATION; 5. SYNTHESIS AND FORMULATION; RESOLUTION; 7. COMMENTARY; QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION; REFERENCE; Chapter 5 - Research Assistants Coming Forward with Concerns about Perceived Behavior of Principal Investigator; 1. PRESENTING COMPLAINT; 2. BACKGROUND AND HISTORY; 3. RELEVANT EVIDENCE; 4. CASE MANAGEMENT AND ADJUDICATION; 5. SYNTHESIS AND FORMULATION; 6. RESOLUTION; 7. COMMENTARY; QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION; REFERENCES; Chapter 6 - Questionable Mentorship and Oversight of Federal Grant Funding PRESENTING COMPLAINT2. BACKGROUND AND HISTORY; 3. RELEVANT EVIDENCE; 4. CASE MANAGEMENT AND ADJUDICATION; 5. SYNTHESIS AND FORMULATION; 6. RESOLUTION; 7. COMMENTARY; QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION; REFERENCE; Chapter 7 - Submission of Fraudulent Data to a Peer-Review Journal: What Is the Role of the Lab Head/Mentor?; 1. PRESENTING COMPLAINT; 2. BACKGROUND AND HISTORY; 3. RELEVANT EVIDENCE; 4. CASE MANAGEMENT AND ADJUDICATION; 5. INTERVIEW WITH DR ALATAS; 6. INTERVIEW WITH DR PERKASA; 7. SYNTHESIS AND FORMULATION; 8. RESOLUTION; 9. COMMENTARY; QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION; REFERENCES Chapter 8 - Plagiarism versus Data Shared between Junior and Senior Investigators1. PRESENTING COMPLAINT; 2. BACKGROUND AND HISTORY; 3. RELEVANT EVIDENCE; 4. CASE MANAGEMENT AND ADJUDICATION; 5. SYNTHESIS AND FORMULATION; 6. RESOLUTION; 7. COMMENTARY; QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION; REFERENCES Chapter 8 - Plagiarism versus Data Shared between Junior and Senior Investigators1. PRESENTING COMPLAINT; 2. BACKGROUND AND HISTORY; 3. RELEVANT EVIDENCE; 4. CASE MANAGEMENT AND ADJUDICATION; 5. SYNTHESIS AND FORMULATION; 6. RESOLUTION; 7. COMMENTARY; QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION; REFERENCES; Chapter 9 - Misappropriation and Use of Data from a Multisite and Multi- investigator Study; 1. PRESENTING COMPLAINT; 2. BACKGROUND AND HISTORY; 3. RELEVANT EVIDENCE; 4. CASE MANAGEMENT AND ADJUDICATION; 5. SYNTHESIS AND FORMULATION; 6.
Sommario/riassunto	Apparent Single Incident Addresses complex issues with scientific research misconduct throughout the biomedical community by providing real life examples to underscore the serious nature of these misconduct issues, thereby motivating programs to provide appropriate corrective actions Highlights this complexity in 8 completely anonymous case studies, with full explication of details followed by a full dissection and discussion Reviews the current regulations and policies that guide the management of scientific integrity Concludes with a section authored by the Federal Court Judge with special expertise and interest