Record Nr. UNINA9910787070703321 Grammaticalization - theory and data / / edited by Sylvie Hancil, **Titolo** University of Rouen; Ekkehard Konig, Free University Berlin Pubbl/distr/stampa Amsterdam, Netherlands;; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania:,: John Benjamins Publishing Company, , 2014 ©2014 **ISBN** 90-272-6972-6 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (301 p.) Collana Studies in Language Companion Series (SLCS) Disciplina 415 Grammar, Comparative and general - Grammaticalization Soggetti Linguistic change Computational linguistics Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Description based upon print version of record. Includes bibliographical references at the end of each chapters and Nota di bibliografia indexes. Nota di contenuto Grammaticalization - Theory and Data: Editorial page: Title page: LCC data; Table of contents; Acknowledgements; Introduction; Part 1. General and theoretical issues; Part 2. Case studies; References; Acquisition-based and usage-based explanations of grammaticalisation; 1. Generative vs. functional approaches; 2. Integration: Performance and parametrisation; 3. Case examples; 3.1 Romance futures: 3.2 German perfect (cf. Ohl 2009a): 3.3 Remarks on the auxiliation of the copula; 4. Conclusion; References; Grammaticalization and explanation: 1. Introduction: 2. A background of consensus 3. Arguments against the explanatory potential of grammaticalization 4. In defense of the explanatory potential of grammaticalization; 5. Unidirectionality, the process question, and reductionism; Unidirectionality; Process vs. Processes; Reductionism; 6. Concluding remarks; References; The perfectivization of the English perfect; 1. Introduction; 2. The perfectivisation of the HAVE-perfect - prototypical grammaticalization?; 3. The changing perfect in English; a. HAVEperfects with definite past time adverbials; b. Narrative HAVE-perfects 4. An increase in HAVE-perfect with past time adverbials?5. Which

English are we discussing?; 6. Conclusion; References; Explaining language structure; 1. Introduction; 2. Questions; 3. Reconstruction; 4. Conclusions; Acknowledgements; Abbreviations; References; Toward a constructional framework for research on language change; 1. Introduction; 2. The main features of the constructionalization model; 3. A constructional approach to grammaticalization; 4. A constructional approach to lexicalization; 5. Major similarities and differences between contentful and procedural constructionalization

- 6. The value added of a constructional approachData Bases; References; Grammaticalization of Polish mental predicate prefixes; 1. Introduction;
- 2. Grammaticalization; 3. Prefix semantics and its contribution to the meaning of the mental verb; 4. Classification of prefixes into pure perfectivizers and lexical prefixes: A case study on the verb myslec 'to think'; 5. Conclusions; References; More thoughts on the grammaticalization of personal pronouns; 1. Introduction; 2. Referential shifting from third to second person: Heine and Song (2010, 2011)

Sommario/riassunto

Mulder and Thompson (2006, 2008) point out that the final hanging but ([X but]) developed from initial but (X [but Y]) through a sequence of formal reanalyses, and insightfully observe the functional and formal parallelism between the development of the hanging type of final but and the final particalization of the Japanese subordinator -kedo. The present article demonstrates that but (and and as well) can perform a terminal bracketing function and serve as functional subordinators in spoken American English, and that they behave like final particles when the sentences are truncated. Although