1. | | |
   |---|---|
   | Record Nr. | UNINA990001642430403321 |
   | Autore | De Lerma, Baldassarre |
   | Titolo | Zoologia e botanica sistematiche / B. De Lerma, S. Testai |
   | Pubbl/distr/stampa | Napoli : Fratelli Conte Editori, 1972- |
   | Descrizione fisica | v. ; 25 cm |
   | Disciplina | 581 |
   | | 591 |
   | Locazione | FAGBC |
   | Collocazione | 60 581.56 B 16 |
   | Lingua di pubblicazione | Italiano |
   | Formato | Materiale a stampa |
   | Livello bibliografico | Monografia |
   | Nota di contenuto | 1: Biologia. |

2. | | |
   |---|---|
   | Record Nr. | UNINA9910787070703321 |
   | Titolo | Grammaticalization - theory and data / / edited by Sylvie Hancil, University of Rouen ; Ekkehard Konig, Free University Berlin |
   | Pubbl/distr/stampa | Amsterdam, Netherlands ; ; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania : , : John Benjamins Publishing Company, , 2014 ©2014 |
   | ISBN | 90-272-6972-6 |
   | Descrizione fisica | 1 online resource (301 p.) |
   | Collana | Studies in Language Companion Series (SLCS) |
   | Disciplina | 415 |
   | Soggetti | Grammar, Comparative and general - Grammaticalization |
   | | Linguistic change |
   | | Computational linguistics |
   | Lingua di pubblicazione | Inglese |
   | Formato | Materiale a stampa |
   | Livello bibliografico | Monografia |
   | Note generali | Description based upon print version of record. |

Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references at the end of each chapters and indexes.
Nota di contenuto	Grammaticalization - Theory and Data; Editorial page; Title page; LCC data; Table of contents; Acknowledgements ; Introduction; Part 1. General and theoretical issues; Part 2. Case studies; References; Acquisition-based and usage-based explanations of grammaticalisation; 1. Generative vs. functional approaches; 2. Integration: Performance and parametrisation; 3. Case examples; 3.1 Romance futures; 3.2 German perfect (cf. Ohl 2009a); 3.3 Remarks on the auxiliation of the copula; 4. Conclusion; References; Grammaticalization and explanation; 1. Introduction; 2. A background of consensus 3. Arguments against the explanatory potential of grammaticalization4. In defense of the explanatory potential of grammaticalization; 5. Unidirectionality, the process question, and reductionism; Unidirectionality; Process vs. Processes; Reductionism; 6. Concluding remarks; References; The perfectivization of the English perfect; 1. Introduction; 2. The perfectivisation of the HAVE-perfect - prototypical grammaticalization?; 3. The changing perfect in English; a. HAVE-perfects with definite past time adverbials; b. Narrative HAVE-perfects 4. An increase in HAVE-perfect with past time adverbials?5. Which English are we discussing?; 6. Conclusion; References; Explaining language structure; 1. Introduction; 2. Questions; 3. Reconstruction; 4. Conclusions; Acknowledgements; Abbreviations; References; Toward a constructional framework for research on language change; 1. Introduction; 2. The main features of the constructionalization model; 3. A constructional approach to grammaticalization; 4. A constructional approach to lexicalization; 5. Major similarities and differences between contentful and procedural constructionalization 6. The value added of a constructional approachData Bases; References; Grammaticalization of Polish mental predicate prefixes; 1. Introduction; 2. Grammaticalization; 3. Prefix semantics and its contribution to the meaning of the mental verb; 4. Classification of prefixes into pure perfectivizers and lexical prefixes: A case study on the verb myslec 'to think'; 5. Conclusions; References; More thoughts on the grammaticalization of personal pronouns; 1. Introduction; 2. Referential shifting from third to second person: Heine and Song (2010, 2011)
Sommario/riassunto	Mulder and Thompson (2006, 2008) point out that the final hanging but ([X but]) developed from initial but (X [but Y]) through a sequence of formal reanalyses, and insightfully observe the functional and formal parallelism between the development of the hanging type of final but and the final particalization of the Japanese subordinator -kedo. The present article demonstrates that but (and and as well) can perform a terminal bracketing function and serve as functional subordinators in spoken American English, and that they behave like final particles when the sentences are truncated. Although