Record Nr. UNINA9910786630003321 **Titolo** Structuring the argument: multidisciplinary research on verb argument structure / / edited by Asaf Bachrach, Isabelle Roy, Linnaea Stockall Pubbl/distr/stampa Amsterdam, Netherlands:,: John Benjamins Publishing Company,, 2014 ©2014 **ISBN** 90-272-7010-4 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (213 p.) Collana Language Faculty and Beyond, , 1877-6531; ; Volume 10 Disciplina 415 Grammar, Comparative and general - Verb phrase Soggetti Grammar, Comparative and general - Augmentatives Grammar, Comparative and general - Syntax Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Description based upon print version of record. Includes bibliographical references at the end of each chapters and Nota di bibliografia indexes. Nota di contenuto Structuring the Argument; Editorial page; Title page; LCC data; Table of contents; Acknowledgments; Introduction: Argumenting the structure; 1. The mapping question; 2. Non canonical argument structure realization; 3. Neurobiological models; References; Part I. The general issue: Verb argument structure; Can we dance without doing a dance? Two opposite views on the integration of roots in the syntactic; 1. Introduction: Roots in theories of argument structure; 2. Marantz (2011): Roots can only be adjuncts. A critical reply; 3. Three additional reasons to allow roots in complement position 4. ConclusionsNotes; Determining argument structure in sign languages; 1. Introduction; 2. The lexicon of SLs: A brief overview; 3. Distributed Morphology accounts; 4. Classifier predicates and argument structure: 5. Discussion and future prospects: 6. Conclusion: Notes; References; The processing and representation of light verb constructions; 1. Introduction; 2. Investigating the processing of light verb constructions; 3. Repercussions for grammatical theories of light verb constructions; 4. Conclusions; Notes; References; Part II. Noncanonical argument structure realization Luigi piace a Laura? Electrophysiological evidence for thematic

reanalysis with Italian dative objec1. Introduction; 2. Theoretical considerations; 3. Processing sentences with object experiencer verbs; 4. The present study: 5. General discussion: 6. Conclusion: Acknowledgments; Notes; References; Appendix; Causative nominalizations: Implications for the structure of psych verbs; 1. Introduction; 2. OE verbs and causative nominalizations; 3. Argumental PPs in the OE-SE alternation in Greek and Romanian; 4. A syntactic analysis for CPNs; 5. CPNs in English; 6. Conclusions and predictions Notes References: Part III. Neurobiological models: Neurocognitive mechanisms of verb argument structure processing; 1. Introduction; 2. Argument structure in language processing models and theoretical linguistics; 3. The neural instantiation of argument structure processing; 4. A neurocognitive model of verb argument structure processing; Notes; References; Argument structure: Between linguistics and neuroimaging: Defining a question: Methodological considerations: A case study: The derivation of reflexive verbs; Concluding remarks; Note: References

Argument structure: Creating a productive space for theory and experimentation1. Introduction; 2. The causative-inchoative alternation; 3. A blueprint for successful interaction; 4. Conclusion; Note; References; Language index; Subject index

Sommario/riassunto

We investigate so-called causative psych nominalizations (CPNs), i.e., nominalizations of object experiencer (OE) verbs that realize non-agentive causers as external arguments. While they are ruled out in English (Grimshaw 1990; Iwata 1995; Pesetsky 1995) and have been suggested to be cross-linguistically banned (Landau 2010), we show that Romanian and Greek derive CPNs from the subject experiencer (SE) form of alternating OE verbs. We analyze them as nominalizations of the anticausative SE form of these verbs. Our results suggest a structural difference between Romanian/Greek and English psyc