

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910786624603321
Autore	Chernoff Fred
Titolo	Explanation and progress in security studies : bridging paradigm divides in international relations
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Stanford, California, : Stanford University Press, 2014 ©2014
ISBN	0-8047-9229-1
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (324 pages)
Collana	Stanford Security Studies
Altri autori (Persone)	ChernoffFred
Disciplina	327.101
Soggetti	International relations - Methodology International relations - Philosophy Security, International Internationale relationer International sikkerhed Explanation
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Front matter -- Contents -- Preface -- Introduction -- 1. Traditions of Explanation and the Idea of Scientific Progress -- 2. Explanation in the Natural and Social Sciences -- 3. The Nuclear Proliferation Debate -- 4. The Balance-of-Power Debate -- 5. The Democratic Peace Debate -- 6. Analysis, Alternatives, Conclusion -- Notes -- References -- Index
Sommario/riassunto	Explanation and Progress in Security Studies asks why Security Studies, as a central area of International Relations, has not experienced scientific progress in the way natural sciences have—and answers by arguing that the underlying reason is that scholars in Security Studies have advanced a range of different notions of "explanation" or different criteria of "explanatory superiority" to show that their positions are better than rival positions. To demonstrate this, the author engages in in-depth content analysis of the generally recognized exemplars of explanation and explanatory superiority in three of the core debates in the disciplines: Why do states pursue policies of nuclear proliferation? Why do states choose to form the alliances they do? And why do liberal democratic states behave the way they do toward other liberal

democracies? The book reveals that authors in the debates that have shown the most progress use similar criteria in arguing for and against the key explanations. In the nuclear proliferation debate, there is wide divergence in the criteria the most visible authors use, and there is wide divergence in the explanations offered. In the alliance formation/balance-of-power debate, there is some overlap of criteria the most important authors use, and there has been some limited movement toward consensus. In the democratic peace debate there has been much more overlap of criteria the most prominent authors use, and there is agreement on both some positive and negative conclusions.
