

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910786346203321
Autore	Vogel Sarah A (Sarah Ann), <1974->
Titolo	Is it safe? [[electronic resource]] : BPA and the struggle to define the safety of chemicals // Sarah A. Vogel
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Berkeley, : University of California Press, c2013
ISBN	1-283-80434-4 0-520-95420-3
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (327 p.)
Disciplina	615.9/5131
Soggetti	Phenols Chemicals - Safety measures Health risk assessment
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Plastic food -- The "toxicity crisis" of the 1960s and 1970s -- Regulatory toxicity testing and environmental estrogens -- Endocrine disruption : new science, new risks -- The low-dose debate -- Battles over bisphenol A -- Epilogue.
Sommario/riassunto	"We are all just a little bit plastic. Traces of bisphenol A or BPA, a chemical used in plastics production, are widely detected in our bodies and environment. Is this chemical, and its presence in the human body, safe? What is meant by safety? Who defines it, and according to what information? Is It Safe narrates how the meaning of the safety of industrial chemicals has been historically produced by breakthroughs in environmental health research, which in turn trigger contests among trade associations, lawyers, politicians, and citizen activists to set new regulatory standards. Drawing on archival research and extensive interviews, author Sarah Vogel explores the roots of the contemporary debate over the safety of BPA, and the concerns presented by its estrogen-like effects even at low doses. Ultimately, she contends that science alone cannot resolve the political and economic conflicts at play in the definition of safety. To strike a sustainable balance between the interests of commerce and public health requires recognition that powerful interests will always try to shape the criteria for defining

safety, and that the agenda for environmental health research should be protected from capture by any single interest group"--Provided by publisher.

---