

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910786195003321
Autore	Ritzenberg Aaron
Titolo	The sentimental touch [[electronic resource]] : the language of feeling in the age of managerialism / / Aaron Ritzenberg
Pubbl/distr/stampa	New York, : Fordham University Press, c2013
ISBN	0-8232-4555-1 0-8232-5255-8 0-8232-5038-5
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (191 p.)
Disciplina	810.9/353
Soggetti	American literature - 19th century - History and criticism American literature - 20th century - History and criticism Emotions in literature
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Touching the body, training the reader -- Managing sentimentalism in adventures of Huckleberry Finn -- Holding on to the sentimental in Winesburg, Ohio -- A touch of Miss Lonelyhearts.
Sommario/riassunto	Between 1850 and 1940, with the rise of managerial capitalism in the United States, the most powerful businesses ceased to be family owned, instead becoming sprawling organizations controlled by complex bureaucracies. Sentimental literature—work written specifically to convey and inspire deep feeling—does not seem to fit with a swiftly bureaucratizing society. Surprisingly, though, sentimental language persisted in American literature, even as a culture of managed systems threatened to obscure the power of individual affect. The Sentimental Touch explores the strange, enduring power of sentimental language in the face of a rapidly changing culture. Analyzing novels by Harriet Beecher Stowe, Mark Twain, Sherwood Anderson, and Nathanael West, the book demonstrates that sentimental language changes but remains powerful, even in works by authors who self-consciously write against the sentimental tradition. Sentimental language has an afterlife, enduring in American literature long after authors and critics declared it dead, insisting that human feeling can resist a mechanizing culture

and embodying, paradoxically, the way that literary conventions themselves become mechanical and systematic.
