

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910786173203321
Autore	Hutto Daniel D
Titolo	Radicalizing enactivism : basic minds without content / / Daniel D. Hutto and Erik Myin
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cambridge, Mass., : MIT Press, ©2013 ©2013
ISBN	1-283-90640-6 0-262-31217-4
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (233 p.)
Altri autori (Persone)	MyinErik
Disciplina	128/.2
Soggetti	Cognition - Philosophy Philosophy and cognitive science Philosophy of mind Cognitive science Content (Psychology)
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Contents; Preface; Acknowledgments; Abbreviations; Chapter 1: Enactivism; The Specter of Enactivism; Enactivism RECTified; CIC, REC, and CEC; A Walk on the Wild Side; Chapter 2: Enactivisms Less Radical; Other Enactivisms; Sensorimotor Enactivism; Autopoietic Enactivism; The Information-Processing Challenge; Chapter 3: The Reach of REC; Reckoning with REC; A Helping Hand; The Non-Standard Ploy: CIC Rescued?; Chapter 4: The Hard Problem of Content; Three in One Blow; Content-What Is It Good For?; The (Literal) Truth about Information; Enactivist Makeovers; Chapter 5: CIC's Retreat Falling Back to High GroundHyperintellectualism; Minimal Intellectualism; Maximally Minimal Intellectualism; Chapter 6: CIC's Last Stand; Once More unto the Breach; Operation Imagistic Cognition; Operation Perceptual Science; The Phenomenal Cavalry?; The Factual Cavalry?; Aftermath; Chapter 7: Extensive Minds; From Extended to Extensive; Parity-Motivated EMH; Complementarity-Motivated EMH; Partnering Basic Minds with Scaffolded Minds; Chapter 8: Regaining Consciousness; Conflations of Consciousness; Going Wide While

Sommario/riassunto

Hutto and Myin promote the cause of a radically enactive, embodied approach to cognition which holds that some kinds of minds - basic minds - are neither best explained by processes involving the manipulation of contents nor inherently contentful. It opposes the widely endorsed thesis that cognition always and everywhere involves content. The authors defend the counter-thesis that there can be intentionality and phenomenal experience without content, and demonstrate the advantages of their approach for thinking about scaffolded minds and consciousness.
