

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910786085303321
Autore	Malphurs Ryan A. <1980-, >
Titolo	Rhetoric and discourse in Supreme Court oral arguments : sensemaking in judicial decisions // Ryan A. Malphurs
Pubbl/distr/stampa	New York : , : Routledge, , 2013
ISBN	1-136-18229-2 1-283-94213-5 0-203-08294-X 1-136-18230-6
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (247 p.)
Collana	Routledge studies in rhetoric and communication ; ; 13
Disciplina	347.73/2605
Soggetti	Oral pleading - United States Forensic orations - United States Law - United States - Language
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Cover; Rhetoric and Discourse in Supreme Court Oral Arguments: Sensemaking in Judicial Decisions ; Copyright ; Contents ; Figures ; Tables ; Preface ; Acknowledgments ; 1 A Letter to the Chief Justice of the United States 2 Historical Development of Legal Rhetoric and Supreme Court Oral Arguments 3 Do Oral Arguments Before the Supreme Court Matter?: A Simple Explanation ; 4 New Question: Oral Arguments "Matter," But How Do We Make Sense of Them?: A Modest Proposal 5 Critical Theories and Research Questions: Proposing a Method to Capture the Madness of Oral Arguments 6 The Many Faces of Oral Argument: Oral Argument's Purposes and the Justices' Styles ; 7 Arguing About "Bong Hits 4 Jesus": Testing Theory and Method in Morse v. Frederick 8 Making Sense of Child Rapists in Kennedy v. Louisiana: A Firsthand

Historical Repercussions of Judicial Sensemaking: District of Columbia
v. Dick Anthony Heller

10 The Ground Covered and New Ground to Uncover: Responding to
Critics, Offering Recommendations, and a Final Letter to the Chief
Justice

11 Biased Sensemaking: Compromising the Court's Rhetorical Authority
; Notes ; References ; Index

Sommario/riassunto

<P>While legal scholars, psychologists, and political scientists commonly voice their skepticism over the influence oral arguments have on the Court's voting pattern, this book offers a contrarian position focused on close scrutiny of the justices' communication within oral arguments. Malphurs examines the rhetoric, discourse, and subsequent decision-making within the oral arguments for significant Supreme Court cases, visiting their potential power and danger and revealing the rich dynamic nature of the justices' interactions among themselves and the advocates. In addition to offering advance
