1. Record Nr. UNINA9910785509303321 Songer Donald R. Autore Titolo Law, ideology, and collegiality [[electronic resource]]: judicial behaviour in the Supreme Court of Canada / / Donald R. Songer ... [et Montreal; ; Ithaca, : McGill-Queen's University Press, 2012 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 0-7735-3929-8 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (236 p.) Altri autori (Persone) SongerDonald R 347.7 Disciplina Soggetti Judges - Canada - Attitudes Judicial process - Canada Political questions and judicial power - Canada Canada Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references (p. [187]-211) and index. Nota di contenuto The Supreme Court's evolving role -- Theories of Supreme Courts' decision making -- The process of decision making -- The dimensionality of voting -- Measuring ideology and justices' votes --The socio-political bases of attitudinal voting -- The attitudinal model and the puzzle of unanimity -- Conclusions. Attitudinal decision making and the Supreme Court. Sommario/riassunto "In a ground-breaking study on the nature of judicial behaviour in the Supreme Court of Canada, Donald Songer, Susan Johnson, C.L. Ostberg, and Matthew Wetstein use three specific research strategies to consider the ways in which justices seek to make decisions grounded in "good law" and to show how these decisions are shaped within a collegial court. The authors use confidential interviews with Supreme Court justices, analysis of their rulings from 1970 to 2005, and measures that tap their perceived ideological tendencies to provide a critical examination of the ideological roots of judicial decision making. uncovering the complexity of contemporary judicial behaviour. Examining judicial behaviour through the lens of three different

research strategies grounded in qualitative and quantitative

methodologies, Law, Ideology, and Collegiality presents compelling

evidence that political ideology is a key factor in decision making and a prominent source of conflict in the Supreme Court of Canada."--Back cover.