Record Nr. Autore Titolo Pubbl/distr/stampa	UNINA9910785434503321 Ravitch Frank S. <1966-> Marketing intelligent design : law and the creationist agenda / / Frank S. Ravitch [[electronic resource]] Cambridge : , : Cambridge University Press, , 2011
ISBN	0-511-86198-2 1-107-21388-6 1-282-94194-1 9786612941948 0-511-76116-3 0-511-85974-0 0-511-85887-6 0-511-86061-7 0-511-85800-0 0-511-85713-6
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (xv, 344 pages) : digital, PDF file(s)
Disciplina	231.7/652
Soggetti	Creationism - Study and teaching - Law and legislation - United States Evolution (Biology) - Study and teaching - Law and legislation - United States Intelligent design (Teleology) - Study and teaching - United States Creationism - Study and teaching - United States Evolution (Biology) - Study and teaching - United States Religion in the public schools - Law and legislation - United States
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015).
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Designing design Big D and manufactured paradigm shifts The law and intelligent design Theistic evolution Dawkins's dilemma No intelligence allowed or no intelligible science? The Madison Avenue approach to law and science Conclusion.
Sommario/riassunto	Recently a new battle has emerged between science and religion. The battle has focused on intelligent design (ID) and the numerous legal,

1.

these concerns centers on two questions: Is ID science? And is ID religion? Despite the fact that ID does not meet the standards of scientific rigor, ID proponents have been able to create a remarkably well-designed marketing plan aimed at imposing a theistic naturalism in schools and scientific discourse. Both the ID movement and some of its most vociferous opponents have a vested interest in suggesting that science, especially evolutionary biology, and religion are incompatible. This book presents a philosophical and legal counterpoint by demonstrating the compatibility between religion and evolutionary biology and the incompatibility between ID and mainstream science.